Q. Re: Evidence of Patrick Bowman, page 5, line 13 & section 7 pgs 39 - 42; and 2 Evidence of Douglas Bowman pgs 17 - 19, and page 32 lines 12 - 14. 3 4 In light of PU 14 (2004) pages 147 - 149 and the status of the Province's Energy Plan, what is NP's position on the appropriateness of a formal IRP exercise in 6 which both Hydro, NP and other interested parties would be required to

7 8 9

11 12

13

5

1

Specifically, what is NP's view on the following: **(b)**

10

- timing; ii. participants;
- iii. cost; and

participate?

iv. whether such an exercise is consistent with least cost electricity under section 3(b) (iii) of the Electrical Power Control Act (NL)?

14 15 16

A. General

17 18

Long-term supply, or resource, planning is, in Newfoundland Power's view, a necessary attribute of least-cost power delivery.

19 20 21

22

23

24 25

26

Historically, Newfoundland & Labrador Hydro ("Hydro") has largely fulfilled the resource planning role in the Province. In 1996, the Board acquired a statutory mandate under the Electrical Power Control Act, 1994 (the "Act") to, in effect, oversee system planning. This oversight mandate is subject to provincial government pre-emption by virtue of the powers under regulatory legislation for the government to exempt certain undertakings from Board oversight. This power of pre-emption was, in fact, exercised with respect to the larger generation additions that have occurred since 1996.

27 28 29

30

31 32 Given the requirement of long-term resource planning for least cost power delivery and the current mandate of the Board under the Act, Newfoundland Power believes a public resource planning exercise involving both supply and demand resources is consistent with section 3 (b)(iii) of the Act. This belief is broadly consistent with the Board's comments on pp. 147-149 of Order No. P.U. 14 (2004).

33 34 35

Timing of an IRP

36 37 38

Currently, the provincial government is preparing an Energy Plan (the "Energy Plan") which might provide some policy direction with respect to planning for future energy supply. The degree, if any, which the Energy Plan might affect future energy supply is, however, currently uncertain.

40 41 42

43

44

45

39

The currently outstanding Energy Plan provides an element of complication to consideration of the timing of any integrated resource planning ("IRP") exercise the Board might wish to undertake. Embarking on an IRP prior to conclusion of the Energy Plan raises the possibility of wasted costs should the policy direction in the Energy Plan

materially alter the scope of policy options the provincial government should mandate. On the other hand, inadequate supply planning also carries obvious cost risks.

It is Newfoundland Power's view that these circumstances are best accommodated by a practical approach. At page 5, lines 29-31 of their evidence in this proceeding, Patrick Bowman and Andrew McLaren indicate a deadline should be established for filing an IRP with a suggestion for the first half of 2008.

Such an approach has merit, although the date should obviously reflect the time requirements necessary to prepare an IRP submission.

 Current indications are that the Energy Plan will be complete in the first half of 2007. If this occurs, any necessary IRP exercise might reasonably be scheduled for the first half of 2008. If the Energy Plan is delayed for whatever reason, then the matter of timing might be reconsidered in the context of then existing circumstances. This type of approach appears to Newfoundland Power to provide a reasonable means of minimizing the complications and cost risks associated with timing of an IRP.

IRP Process

Any IRP process undertaken ought to be reasonably tailored to meet the needs of the provincial electrical systems. Newfoundland Power believes this can be achieved by a balance of a number of competing objectives.

An IRP is, to a large degree, a review of all reasonable resource options. The number of reasonable options available in the province is limited by factors such as geography (i.e., isolation) and market size and development (i.e., lack of natural gas) and the IRP scope should reflect this.

An IRP, like any Board mandated process, ought to have a degree of participation consistent with public transparency. In the current circumstances that would include the participants in a Hydro general rate case, but could possibly include others. The scope of additional participation will add to the cost of an IRP process. Accordingly, open-ended participation can result in additional cost being disproportionate to additional value.

An effective IRP process ought to be a least cost *process*. In general, Newfoundland Power believes this is achieved by a focus on more mediative and negotiative processes, although a degree of formal hearing may be necessary.