1 2	NLH 29 CA 2006 NLH General Rate Application
3	Page 1 of 1
4	
5 6	
7	Q: (a) Is Dr. Cannon aware that this Board considered the implications of variations in the
8	embedded cost of debt on Newfoundland Power's Automatic Adjustment
9	Mechanism during Newfoundland Power's 2003 General Rate Application and
10	subsequently ordered (page 68 of its Order PU 19 (2003)) that "The Board will
11	establish a mechanism tied to the observed rate of return on regulated common
12	equity which may trigger an early review of the mechanism and cost of capital.
13 14	Where the actual rate of return on regulated equity in any intervening year exceeds
14 15	the cost of equity determined by the Formula by more than 50 basis points, then NP will be required to file a report with the Board in its annual return setting out the
16	circumstances and facts contributing to the difference".
17	encompanies and facts contributing to the anterence f
18	(b) Is Dr. Cannon aware that Hydro proposed a similar mechanism as part of its
19	proposed Automatic Adjustment Mechanism (Exhibit MGB-1)?
20	
21	
22 23	
23 24	
24 25	A: (a) Yes, Dr. Cannon is aware of this, but he has not been retained in this hearing to
26	express any opinion on this provision as it applies to NP's AAM.
27	
28	(b) Yes, Dr. Cannon is aware of this and he expressed no objection to it. This filing
29	requirement does not, however, in any way reduce the desirability of his proposal,
30	and will provide the same "comfort" to Hydro, the Board, and to Hydro's customers
31 32	under Dr. Cannon's proposal as it is intended to provide under the Company's proposal.