

1 Q. Please confirm that the data provided in IC-59 is based on a development
2 sequence reflecting the 2006 Provincial Load Forecast. Please confirm that
3 the development sequence provided in IC-53 is not consistent with IC-59 and
4 provide the explanation for the difference. Please confirm that the results in
5 IC-53 and IC-59 are not materially different with the exception of in some
6 cases a revised in service date of one-year.

7
8
9 A. The data provided in IC 59 NLH is based on a development sequence
10 reflecting the 2006 Provincial Load Forecast. The development sequence
11 provided in IC 53 NLH also reflects the 2006 Provincial Load Forecast.
12 However, the development sequences are not consistent. This is a result of
13 changes to some of the inputs to Hydro's generation planning software
14 package.

15
16 The development sequence in IC 59 NLH was developed early in 2006.
17 Since that time, there has been a reduction of 9.9 MW in net capacity, an
18 increase of 115 GWh in the system firm capability and a decrease in average
19 energy production of 110 GWh. (See Regulated Activities: Evidence page 29,
20 lines 8 – 18 and page 39, lines 9 – 11). This led to the development
21 sequence shown in IC 53 NLH.

22
23 The major difference in results between the development sequence in IC 53
24 NLH and IC 59 NLH is a revised in service date of one year for some of the
25 generation expansion options.