| 1<br>2 | Q. | (a) | Please provide the detailed calculations for Hydro's most recent forecast of its embedded costs of debt (ECDs) for 2007, 2008, 2009, |
|--------|----|-----|--|
| 3      |    |     | and 2010 in the format used by Dr. Cannon in his Responses to NLH  |
| 4<br>5 |    |     | 22 CA.   |
| 6      |    | (b) | With respect to (a), please indicate where and how Hydro's forecast  |
| 7      |    |     | input assumptions differ from those used by Dr. Cannon, including,   |
| 8      |    |     | among other forecast values, Hydro's assumed coupon rate on its  |
| 9      |    |     | planned \$200 million new debenture issue during 2008.   |
| 10     |    |     |  |
| 11     |    |     |  |
| 12     | Α. | (a) | Based on assumptions contained in Hydro's financial projection as  |
| 13     |    |     | filed in CA 212 NLH and as subsequently adjusted for changes   |
| 14     |    |     | noted in Hydro's December 6, 2006 revised filing of the 2006 General   |
| 15     |    |     | Rate Application, Table 1 attached reflects Hydro's revised projection   |
| 16     |    |     | for the embedded cost of debt for 2007 - 2010.   |
| 17     |    |     |  |
| 18     |    | (b) | Dr. Cannon's schedules as contained in his answer to NLH 22 CA   |
| 19     |    |     | were based on assumptions contained in Hydro's financial projection  |
| 20     |    |     | filed in answer to CA 212 NLH, with the exception that assumptions as  |
| 21     |    |     | to interest rate projections differed. The details of these differences  |
| 22     |    |     | are outlined in Table 2 attached. Hydro's interest rate forecasts are  |
| 23     |    |     | based on the latest available forecasted Government of Canada  |
| 24     |    |     | interest rates plus a Provincial credit spread per market conditions at  |
| 25     |    |     | the time of estimation. For 2007, Hydro's forecasts are based on an  |
| 26     |    |     | average of the financial advisor forecasts for that year. For 2008,  |
| 27     |    |     | Hydro used the average of the financial advisor forecasts for the last   |
| 28     |    |     | quarter 2007 for both the short term interest rate and the long term   |

### CA 218 NLH 2006 NLH General Rate Application

### Page 2 of 3

1

2

interest rate applicable to the re-financing. For 2009 and 2010, Hydro

used the Conference Board of Canada long-term forecasts.

|  | Rate          | Yr of    | Year of  | Revised | Revised | Revised | Revised |
|--|---------------|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Series   | %             | Issue    | Maturity | 2007    | 2008    | 2009    | 2010    |
| AA   | 5.50          | 1998     | 2008     | 200.0   | -       | -       | -       |
| $V^{(1)}$  | 10.50         | 1989     | 2014     | 125.0   | 125.0   | 125.0   | 125.0   |
| X <sup>(1)</sup>                                       | 10.25         | 1992     | 2017     | 150.0   | 150.0   | 150.0   | 150.0   |
| Y <sup>(1)</sup>                                       | 8.40          | 1996     | 2026     | 300.0   | 300.0   | 300.0   | 300.0   |
| AB <sup>(1)</sup>                                      | 6.65          | 2001     | 2031     | 300.0   | 300.0   | 300.0   | 300.0   |
| $AD^{(1)}$   | 5.70          | 2003     | 2033     | 125.0   | 125.0   | 125.0   | 125.0   |
| AE   | 4.30          | 2006     | 2016     | 225.0   | 225.0   | 225.0   | 225.0   |
| F <sup>(2)(3)</sup>                                    | 4.48          | 2008     | -        | -       | 200.0   | 200.0   | 200.0   |
| Total deb  | entures       |          |          | 1,425.0 | 1,425.0 | 1,425.0 | 1,425.0 |
| Capital le   | eases         |          |          | 0.3     | 0.2     | -       | -       |
| Total lon  |               | ebt      |          | 1,425.3 | 1,425.2 | 1,425.0 | 1,425.0 |
| Promisso   | ry notes      |          |          | 94.7    | 70.6    | 81.0    | 84.4    |
| Less sink  | ing fund      | investme | ents     | (193.1) | (214.1) | (236.3) | (260.0) |
| Less non-regulated allocated debt                      |               |          |          | (69.0)  | (70.0)  | (74.0)  | (78.4)  |
| Less unamortized DDI                                   |               |          |          | (2.8)   | (2.3)   | (2.0)   | (1.6)   |
| Regulated debt   |               |          | 1,255.1  | 1,209.4 | 1,193.7 | 1,169.4 |         |
| Average net debt                                       |               |          | 1,266.7  | 1,232.2 | 1,201.5 | 1,181.6 |         |
| G ( ) ( )  | 1.            |          |          |         |         |         |         |
|  | Cost of debt: |          |          | 105.2   | 103.5   | 102.0   | 103.2   |
| Gross Interest   |               |          |          | 105.2   | 105.5   | 102.9   | 105.2   |
| Amortization of debt discount                          |               |          |          | 0.6     | 0.5     | 0.4     | 0.4     |
| and fiduciary fees<br>Amortization of realized foreign |               |          |          | 0.0     | 0.5     | 0.4     | 0.4     |
| exchange lsoses  |               |          |          | 2.2     | 2.2     | 2.2     | 2.2     |
| Guarantee fee  |               |          |          | 13.5    | 13.3    | 12.8    | 12.7    |
| Less sinking fund interest                             |               |          |          | (11.8)  | (13.0)  | (14.3)  | (15.7)  |
| Less interest allocations                              |               |          |          | (5.1)   | (15.0)  | (14.3)  | (15.7)  |
| Cost of debt   |               |          |          | 104.7   | 101.4   | 98.5    | 97.0    |
| 2000 01 0  |               |          |          | 10/     |         | 20.0    | 21.0    |
| Embedded cost of debt                                  |               | 8.27%    | 8.23%    | 8.20%   | 8.21%   |         |         |

#### Table 1

(1) Sinking funds are associated with these issues.

(2) "F" denotes a forecast series.

(3) This issue has an indefinite maturity date.

(4) The slight variation in the 2007 embedded cost of debt as calculated above (8.27%) and that as noted in the December 6, 2006 revised filing (8.26%) relates to the use of an annualized approach when performing longer term modeling. A monthly approach is used in budget preparation.

# CA 218 NLH 2006 NLH General Rate Application Page 3 of 3

# Table 2

|   | Per Hydro 5 Year<br>Projection Filed in<br>CA 212 NLH | Per Table 1 | Per Dr. Cannon<br>in NLH 22 CA |
|---|---|-------------|--------------------------------|
| SHORT-TERM INTEREST RATES                   |   |             |                                |
| 2007  | 4.19%   | 4.07%       | 4.00%                          |
| 2008  | 4.04%   | 3.75%       | 4.00%                          |
| 2009  | 4.57%   | 4.57%       | 4.00%                          |
| 2010  | 4.56%   | 4.56%       | 4.00%                          |
|   |   |             |                                |
| Long-Term Interest Rate on 2008 Refinancing | 5.24%   | 4.48%       | 4.40%                          |