1 Q. Reference p. 23 of the Regulated Activities: Evidence and reference 2 Schedule I to Evidence of J. R. Haynes, please explain why costs for Human 3 Resources and Organizational Effectiveness have increased by 4 approximately \$3 million from 2002 to forecast 2007 (\$9,203,000 to 5 \$12,288,000) and why Hydro is forecasting an increase in these costs from \$10,660,000 in 2005 to \$12,228,000 in the Test Year. 6 7 8 9 A. Costs for Human Resources and Organizational Effectiveness have 10 increased by \$3 million from 2002 to 2007 primarily due to increases in 11 employee future benefits (EFB) (\$2 million) and group insurance (\$0.8 12 million). The increase from 2005 to 2007 of \$1.6 million is primarily due to 13 increases in EFB (\$0.2 million) and group insurance (\$0.3 million), higher 14 apprentice salaries in 2007 due to timing of recruitment in 2005 (\$0.5 million), 15 and higher salaries in 2007 due to moving of employees in the Properties 16 section to Human Resources and Organizational Effectiveness which 17 occurred late in 2005 (\$0.4 million) 18 19 Note that in 2005, Hydro changed its approach to internal allocation of 20 employee future benefits and group insurance expenses. Prior to 2005, 21 these amounts were recorded in the Human Resources department. In 22 2005, Hydro commenced allocating these expenses to business units, based 23 on salaries, in order to more accurately reflect total labour cost that was 24 being incurred in each business unit. However, in Schedule I to Evidence of 25 J.R. Haynes, costs associated with Employee Future Benefits and Group

Insurance were moved from the individual business units back to the Human

Resources and Organizational Effectiveness division for years 2005-7. This

26

27

re-classification was made on this schedule to achieve better comparability of each department's operating and maintenance expense from year to year.