

# Electric Utility Week

August 4, 2003

## University ties are key theme in Westar suit that also links Wittig to Boesky scandal

Tangled ties with the University of Kansas, long an undercurrent in the Westar Energy saga, boiled over late last week in a lawsuit that cites university-related links among key players as a major factor in the company's woes.

The suit also links ex-Westar boss David Wittig, now a convicted felon, to a former Wall Street mentor who was convicted of felony charges tied to the financial scandal surrounding Ivan Boesky.

The explosive material is contained in a totally new version of a shareholder derivative complaint (in the US District Court for the District of Kansas at Topeka, Case No. 03-CV-4081-JAR), which first became publicly available late July 31. The original suit was filed April 16.

The links between KU, Wittig and the majority of the board during his tenure have always been a subplot in the broader story of Westar's financial collapse and were developed somewhat further in the company's internal report about past management misconduct (EUW, 19 May, 1).

(continued on page 17)

## With a twist, Senate gets energy bill out the door, sets up unusual dynamic for House negotiation

Struggling to meet an Aug. 4 recess date and avoid controversial Democrat-sponsored electricity amendments, Senate Republicans last week championed a return to the energy bill that passed in October 2002 but failed in later conference with the House. The apparently wily maneuver enabled leadership of the sharply divided Senate, which Republicans control by only two votes, to get an energy bill on the road to signature by President Bush.

"I'm a bit shocked and surprised that the Democrats agreed to it," said one utility representative. "It keeps alive the possibility of getting a major energy bill to the Rose Garden." Republicans said they believe they can work out differences between the 2002 Democrat-drafted bill and the bill written this year by Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee Chairman Pete Domenici (R-N.M.) in the fall when they negotiate with the House, which passed an energy bill in April.

Both last year's and this year's Senate bills took similar tacks on major items—Public Utility Holding Company Act repeal,

(continued on page 6)

## Northern Plains governors seek sanity in ongoing battle over Missouri River

One thing has not changed in the 200 years since Lewis and Clark first traversed the Missouri River's 2,341 miles—different folks have different ideas of how the vast waterway should be used.

Three upper plains governors think it is time to bring those interests together, and electric utilities will be watching closely.

The famed Lewis and Clark Expedition team were the first white men seen by most Native Americans who lived along the river from its junction with the Mississippi River at St. Louis to its origin in the Rocky Mountains of Montana.

Neither group knew about power generation, flood control, reservoirs, inland barge navigation, large-scale agricultural irrigation, recreational water use or habitats for endangered species of fish and birds.

For the past 60 years, however, those uses have shared the river under the Pick/Sloan Plan of 1944 and the Missouri River Bank Stabilization and Navigation Project of 1945.

There have been fights for almost that long about which uses should take precedence, culminating recently in dueling federal courts whose conflicting rulings threw thousands of megawatts

(continued on page 8)

### INSIDE THIS ISSUE

#### Late-Breaking News

- AGs, other groups say FERC's SMD plan is illegal 30

#### Company News

- Ratings firms bash NorthWestern's bitter medicine 24
- KCC blesses Westar's restructuring plan 25

#### Environment

- NOx/SO2 Allowance Outlook 27

#### Federal Policy

- Federal-state tension needs to end, industry tells regulators 3
- FEMA, NRC okay disputed emergency plan for N.Y. reactor 4

#### Finance

- Earnings roundup: Milder weather impacts more Q2 results 9
- S&P sees power sector weakness continuing this year 11

#### Litigation

- AEP pays Williams \$90-million to settle power trading dispute 16

tolling agreement, a 222-MW deal with Black Hills Corp. for power from its Las Vegas Cogen plant.

Industry analysts last week said the contract sale may provide troubled Allegheny with some upside potential in the coming months.

Chris Ellinghaus, energy analyst for Williams Capital Group, said the deal would enhance Allegheny's flagging liquidity, eventually "providing the resources to allow the company to restructure tolling agreements in the West power book that are draining earnings and cash flow." He reiterated Williams' "buy" rating on Allegheny shares.

"The contract sale, along with the [\$300-million] recent convertible placement [announced last week], resolve [the company's] near-term liquidity issues and should allow the company time to successfully restructure," he said in a research note.

While the DWR contract sale allowed the company to distance itself from bankruptcy, Ellinghaus cautioned "an investment in [Allegheny] is highly speculative, given the company's liquidity needs. We ultimately see earnings potential that supports the stock at significantly higher levels with improvement likely in 2004, but recognize that full realization of Allegheny's restructuring is not likely until 2005." Mike Worms, analyst for Harris Nesbitt Gerard, said Allegheny benefits from the deal because it will bring in needed cash and concentrate the company on its core businesses. "It is obviously a good deal for them," he said.

In a statement issued last Monday, Standard & Poor's said it considers Allegheny's sale of the contract "to be positive for liquidity, but the announcement does not affect the rating or outlook on the company."

"Although the sale of the CDWR contract will eliminate a primary source of cash flow volatility for the company and represents an important step towards restoring the company's creditworthiness, Allegheny has many other significant near-term challenges and the outlook on the company is likely to remain negative until it can bring its financial statement filings up to date and exhibit the financial strength to meet its bank loan covenants and upcoming debt maturities," the credit rating agency said.

## MUNICIPALIZATION

### Progress Florida gets to retain Casselberry franchise, other cities still in negotiations

After tough negotiation, the city commission of Casselberry, Fla., last week approved a new 30-year franchise agreement with Progress Energy Florida that gives the community the highest franchise fee it pays in the state, 6%, and a "favored nation" clause that entitles the city to a better deal if Progress gives a better one to any other municipality.

The city also gets a direct reimbursement of \$1.75-million for expenses it incurred while the franchise was in dispute. And

Progress will pay property taxes directly rather than deduct them from franchise fee payments as in the previous franchise.

To get at what Casselberry has said was the primary reason it contested renewal of the franchise, it won a provision in the agreement that requires a reliability study every five years by outside consultants to evaluate Progress' service and binds the utility to rectify reliability problems identified.

Casselberry was one of a handful of cities in southwest Florida that had refused to renew their franchise agreements with the utility, primarily, they said, because of reliability problems. But Casselberry's concerns were addressed in the new deal, according to Tony Segreto, the city's public works director. "It was a good deal," he said. "They offered us more than they have anyone else in the state."

Progress is still in negotiation with the cities of Longwood, Winter Park and Belleair to renew their franchise agreements.

Longwood city commissioners voted once to approve a 30-year franchise agreement and a legal settlement with the utility that would pay the city \$440,000. The agreement must be approved again in an Aug. 4 vote. "We are cautiously optimistic with Longwood," said a Progress spokesman.

Winter Park has scheduled a Sept. 9 public referendum to municipalize, while Belleair is in the middle of arbitration hearings over the value of its grid in preparation for municipalization.

## PLANNING

### McKinsey sees utilities overspending on reliability more than customers care

Utilities over-emphasize the value of reliability to customers, and are investing too much to upgrade an aspect of service that residential customers already find satisfactory, according to consulting firm McKinsey & Co.

An article in the third-quarter issue of *The McKinsey Quarterly*, entitled "What Power Consumers Want," asserts that utilities can reduce their network investments and make cheaper service improvements that customers value more.

The article found that electricity distributors regularly undertake big projects such as burying lines, upgrading transformers and rearranging network architecture so outages affect fewer households. In most cases, they are prodded by regulators, who require utilities to meet reliability targets, and impose heavy fines if they fall short. McKinsey notes that one unnamed Asian utility pursued a project worth hundreds of millions of euros to reduce annual interruptions from less than five minutes to less than two.

"But it is doubtful that residential customers who have reliable service—those in most developed markets and in some advanced emerging ones—want (or would be willing to pay for) service improvements of any type," the article states. Most residential customers in a recent survey under-estimated the duration of their utility's interruptions, it notes. Further, the

respondents said they would accept two hours of outages annually, even though their utility's interruptions average only 70 minutes.

"This easygoing attitude may seem surprising, but the average consumer is asleep one-third of the day and not at home for another third," the article notes. "Moreover, although power may be interrupted, on average, for two hours a year, most customers suffer no outages at all."

Further, investments do not always improve reliability. McKinsey found "no clear correlation between the amounts spent to improve the reliability of distribution networks, and the duration of power cuts in each region, even when the regions compared had similar terrains and climates." That is because more efficient distributors can make themselves more reliable at lower cost. In addition, "returns on reliability investments...diminish beyond a certain threshold, which most distributors have already passed," the authors state.

Finally, more than half of interruptions are beyond utilities' control, because they stem from generation constraints, outages in the transportation network, or excavations by gas and water utilities. "More investment in distribution networks can't solve these problems," the article says.

Therefore, utilities "should take the time to find out what people genuinely value," McKinsey advises. Customers in the survey said they would prefer quicker connections for new properties, more frequent and accurate billing, and shorter call-center wait times. "If distributors can show that customers actually want these cheaper improvements, instead of the more expensive ones needed to improve the reliability of service, regulators might relax their present tough standards," the article says.

At the same time, utilities may be able to reduce reliability-upgrade costs by better evaluating proposed investments. By re-designing maintenance procedures—fielding additional repair crews, for instance—they can often reduce the duration of power outages significantly more cheaply than by making infrastructure improvements.

## Utilities urged to push for uniform rules on power supply purchasing

PacifiCorp, Calpine and the Natural Resources Defense Council are jointly urging utility regulators nationally to provide uniform rules for how electric utilities should acquire new power supplies for customers.

The proposal was made at a meeting of the National Assn. of Regulatory Utility Commissioners in Denver. Currently utility commissions in various states differ in how they deal with approving utilities' proposed electric resource portfolios.

The group is taking this unusual step because with the demise of the merchant power industry, utilities are taking the lead in providing power either by building their own plants or buying power from independent power producers.

Creating a transparent process and providing incentives for developing resource portfolios could shield utility consumers and shareholders alike from unreasonable costs and risks, said

Don Furman, PacifiCorp senior vice president of regulation and external affairs. Moreover, with transparency, a state commission is more likely to look favorably on rate recovery when a utility solicits resources, a company spokesman said.

"A common approach to resource procurement rules shared across the states is particularly important for utilities and resource developers with business in multiple states," Furman said.

The group recommended that the commissions create a public process for upfront regulatory review and approval or disapproval of utilities' proposed electric portfolios. They want to ensure that utilities are compensated for the costs and risks taken in managing power portfolios for customers and eliminate unintended and unnecessary financial disincentives for utility investments in energy efficiency. They are urging commissions to set procurement requirements based upon reliability and economic considerations.

The group also recommends using a public process to identify resource procurement requirements and the specific resource criteria that will meet those requirements, including long-term energy efficiency improvements and short-term demand reduction options.

A combination of market based and regulatory initiatives is the best solution to ensure utilities are able to deliver reliable electric service, they said.

The NRDC, a non-profit environmental advocacy organization, said it joined PacifiCorp and Calpine because their plan identifies steps toward reviving long-term investment in more efficient and cleaner technologies, which are crucial to making environmental progress in the power industry.

A Calpine spokesman said, "In this post-Enron market, companies like Calpine no longer are willing to build on a purely merchant basis. 'We need long term contracts in place before we obtain capital. We would like to see a fair and consistent playing field and solicitations that have an unbiased outcome free from affiliate abuse or insider trading.'"

## Midwest Gen to operate three coal plants, 580 MW, despite Exelon contract release

Midwest Generation, citing an improving outlook for less expensive coal-fired generation, has decided to continue operating three older coal units representing 578 MW of generating capacity in northern Illinois next year despite Exelon's recent announcement it will not buy power from them in 2004.

In late June, Exelon, parent company of Commonwealth Edison, Illinois' largest electric utility, decided not to exercise its contractual right to purchase 262 MW from Will County Unit-3, 216 MW from Crawford Unit-7 and 100 MW from Waukegan Unit-6 (EUW, 30 June, S).

The three units all were built in the 1960s and 1970s.

Under a five-year purchase power agreement entered into by Exelon and Midwest Gen in late 1999, following Midwest Gen's acquisition of seven power plants from ComEd, Exelon is allowed to exercise call options on certain amounts of power