

IN THE MATTER OF the Public
Utilities Act, R.S.N. 1990, Chapter P-47
(the “Act”), and

IN THE MATTER OF a General Rate Application
(the “Application”) by Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro
for approvals of, under Section 70 of the Act, changes
in the rates to be charged for the supply of power and
energy to Newfoundland Power, Rural Customers and
Industrial Customers; and under Section 71 of the Act,
changes in the Rules and Regulations applicable to the
supply of electricity to Rural Customers.

Requests for Information

From Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro

To

**Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities’
Financial Consultants - Grant Thornton**

Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro, Applicant

October 26, 2006

Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro (“Hydro”) 2006 General Rate Application

Requests for Information from Hydro To Public Utilities Board (Financial Consultants Report - 2006 NLH GRA)

Grant Thornton

- | | |
|-----------|---|
| NLH 1 PUB | Please restate the chart on page 22, showing year over year percentage change in the cost of energy, without fuel and power purchase cost. |
| NLH 2 PUB | With respect to page 37, lines 16 to 18, and page 38 through to line 4, please quantify the impact on unit cost calculations in 2005, 2006 and 2007 attributable to the closure of the Abitibi Stephenville newsprint mill in October 2005. |
| NLH 3 PUB | With respect to the use of the full hydraulic record and adjustments to the hydrological record referenced on page 31 lines 1 to 24, please confirm that Hydro has carried out the changes as ordered in P.U. 14 (2004). Please also confirm that any variation from the hydraulic production forecast is an input into the Rate Stabilization Plan so that while the base rate is affected by the choice of a hydrological record methodology, the energy rates finally paid by customers reflect the actual hydraulic production. |
| NLH 4 PUB | With respect to lines 11 to 17 on page 26 of your report, please confirm that there is no impact on revenue requirement as filed as a result of this discrepancy because cost of debt is not impacted nor is total return on rate base. |
| NLH 5 PUB | With respect to page 9, line 11, please refer to CA 9.0 NP and, if appropriate, provide a correction for the statement at line 11. |
| NLH 6 PUB | In reference to page 25, lines 10 and 11, and page 34, lines 31 to 38, are the forecast amounts of \$405,000 and \$555,000 increases or the total amounts of the Capacity Expansion for 2006 and 2007 respectively? What are the increases in the Capacity Expansion expenses from 2005? Is Grant Thornton aware that similar projects are planned for the Wabush Terminal Station for 2008? |