1	Q.	In the Discussion Paper on the Rural Deficit, page 3 of 14, provided as		
2		Schedule II to Corporate Overview evidence, it is stated that "Customers on		
3		the La	abrador Interconnected system pay 49% more than the	heir cost of service
4		as the	eir share of the rural deficit". Please reconcile this sta	atement with the
5		2004	forecast revenue to cost ratio of 1.19 for Rural Labra	dor Interconnected
6		(Cost of Service evidence, Exhibit RDG-1, page 3, line 5).		
7				
8				
9	A.	As indicated in the response to CA-29 NLH, the 49% refers to the final 2002		
10		Forecast Cost of Service (Schedule G, August 2002). Based on the 2004		
11		Forecast Cost of Service (Exhibit RDG-1), the current number is 45%,		
12		calculated as follows:		
13				
14		(a)	Rural Labrador Interconnected Deficit Allocation	
15 16		(h)	(COS, p. 3, c. 5, l. 5) Rural Labrador Interconnected Cost of Service	\$ 4,760,039
17		(b)	(COS, p. 3, c. 3, l. 5)	10,694,710
18		(c)	Deficit as a Percent of Costs (a) / (b)	45%
19 20		The calculation for the revenue to cost coverage ratio of 1.19 can be found		
21		on the same page of the Cost of Service, and is based on revenues and		
22		costs:		
23				
24 25		(d)	Rural Labrador Interconnected Revenues (COS, p. 3, c. 2, l. 5)	\$ 12,705,760
26		(e)	Revenue to Cost Coverage Ratio (d) / (b)	1.19
27				
28		The difference in ratios arises from the CFB Goose Bay Revenue Credit of		
29		\$2,748,588, which is applied to Rural Labrador Interconnected customer		
30		classes.		