

Re: Page 17, Table 5.2

1
2
3 Q. Please restate Table 5.2, using Hydro's estimated avoided costs
4 identified in the response to IC-325 for the OM&A savings, rather than
5 the System Planning estimate.

6
7 A. The response to IC-325 NLH reflects an assumed total savings at
8 Holyrood, as a result of the 224 GW.h from Granite Canal and 237.2
9 GW.h from the two new PPAs, of \$88,171. This equates to 0.0192
10 cents/kW.h, or about 4.3% of the Holyrood non-fuel variable costs
11 reported in NP-130, and approximately the same percentage of the
12 forecast values used for calculating the IC non-firm rate.

13
14 Were this figure to be used in the Table 5.2 in Mr. Osler and Mr.
15 Bowman's pre-filed testimony, all OM&A savings from the new projects
16 (the first line in the table) would be basically zero (compared to \$2.077
17 million in savings based on the 0.45 cents/kW.h long-term non-fuel
18 variable O&M figure provided by Hydro). Otherwise, nothing in the
19 table would change.