

1       Q.     How do IC customers reconcile the position that despite generation  
2             facilities having been available to defer generation additions and as  
3             part of a required generation reserve in the event of generation  
4             outages etc. that all customers should not share in the costs?

5  
6       A.     All customers should share in a fair allocation of costs that they impose  
7             on the system, and for system assets from which they receive benefits  
8             (with the costs reflecting a reasonable relationship to the benefits  
9             received). In addition, the Board needs to review the allocation of  
10            costs in the context of legislative limitations on industrial customers  
11            rates from funding the rural subsidy.

12  
13            The two generation assets that Mr. Osler and Mr. Bowman regard as  
14            not appropriately resulting in costs to overall Island Interconnected  
15            system customers (including IC) are the GNP generation and the NP  
16            thermal generation.

17  
18            The GNP generation is shown to be serving in practice only to provide  
19            reliability to the GNP customers. However, were the GNP generation to  
20            be assigned as being of common benefit, the Rural customers in total  
21            would only be allocated 6.76% of the costs (per RDG-1 Rev. 1  
22            Schedule 3.1A) with the rest of the costs being assigned to IC and NP  
23            for non-GNP consumption.

24  
25            The NP thermal generation is properly paid for by NP's customers via  
26            NP's revenue requirement and GRA. However under Hydro's proposed  
27            allocation, 44% of the costs of NP's thermal generation is being  
28            allocated to IC (with only 56% being allocation to NP). Even high-  
29            quality peaking capacity on Hydro's system, such as Hydro's gas  
30            turbines, are only allocated 12.64% of costs to IC. This is despite  
31            these NP thermal generation assets being completely not required by  
32            Hydro to meet the system reliability standards (and being well down

1           the list of resources to be dispatched by Hydro in the case of some  
2           catastrophic peak constraint).

3  
4           In other words, the cost allocations proposed by Hydro in no way  
5           reflect the usefulness of the specific assets, and do not in any way  
6           result in the proposed costs to each group of customers reflecting in  
7           any way the value that these assets provide to those customers.