| 1 | Q. | On page 3, lines 12 to 15 of Mr. Banfield's Pre-filed testimony, he indicates |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | | that the rate for firming up secondary energy purchased from CBPP and |
| 3 | | resold to Newfoundland power as firm energy is proposed to be decreased |
| 4 | | by 18.6% from the current rate. What factors lead NLH to propose an 18.6% |
| 5 | | decrease in this rate? |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | A. | The decrease in the firming up charge is due to a number of factors, namely |
| 9 | | increased transmission capacity (derived from generation capacity) and a |
| 10 | | decrease in gas turbine operating and maintenance costs, partially offset by |
| 11 | | a decrease in Newfoundland Power's load factor. |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | Please note that secondary energy is no longer forecasted, and revenues |
| 14 | | from energy sales on an actual basis are refunded through the RSP, without |
| 15 | | any bottom line impact to Hydro. |