| 1 | Q. | On page 41 of the Production Division evidence given by James R. Haynes it |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | | indicates that NLH proposes that the GNP generation assets be assigned as |
| 3 | | common in the Cost of Service (COS). |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | (a) Please indicate in dollars how this would impact rates by customer |
| 6 | | group? |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | (b) Has approval of this change been factored into the 2004 & 2005 |
| 9 | | projections used by NLH in this Rate Application? |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | Α. | (a) Customer impacts have been filed as Appendix B to the report entitled |
| 13 | | "Review of COS Assignment for the GNP, Doyles-Port aux Basques, and |
| 14 | | Burin Peninsula Assets", prepared by Hydro's System Planning |
| 15 | | Department, and filed with this application as Exhibit JRH-3. |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | (b) The plant assignments used in this rate application reflect the Board's |
| 18 | | order P.U. 7 (2002-2003), and accordingly, approval of this change has |
| 19 | | not been factored into Hydro's projections. |