Q.	On page 14, lines 8 to 11 of his Pre-filed Evidence, Mr. Greneman states "We have therefore recommended that NLH implement a demand/energy		
	rate	structure of the form discussed in the study as Exhibit RDG-2 entitled	
	"Revi	iew of Rate Design for Newfoundland Power". On page 3, lines 26 to 27	
	of Mr. Banfield's Pre-filed Evidence, he states "Subject to resolution of the		
	issues, Hydro recommends that such a rate be implemented instead of the		
	energy only rate outlined above". Within the context of these statements,		
	please respond to the following:		
	(a)	What is NLH proposing for Board approval with regard to a rate design	
		for Newfoundland Power?	
	(b)	What are NLH's plans with regard to the rate for Newfoundland	
		Power?	
	(c)	Please reconcile the above statements with the following statement	
		from the April 9, 2003 report by NLH's consultant, and attributed to the	
		Board "If the Electricity Policy Review currently underway does not	
		address this issue as put before the Board at the pre-hearing	
		conference in September 1998, the Board will address it at NLH's next	
		general rate hearing. At that time the Board will expect NLH to file	
		supporting evidence with its application to address the demand energy	
		pricing issues raised at this hearing". Please explain how NLH has	
		met the Board's expectation expressed in this statement.	
	(d)	Did NLH's consultant study the value of time-varying charges in the	
		NP rate structure, and if so, what were the conclusions?	
	Q.	"We rate so "Revior of Mraissue energy please (a)  (b)	

	2000 NEIT Contra Natio Application
A. (a)	Page 2 of 2 Hydro is recommending that the rate form as contained in the Stone
	and Webster report entitled, "Review of Rate Design for
	Newfoundland Power" and filed as Exhibit RDG-2, be implemented
	instead of the energy only rate. Hydro believes that the issues referred
	to in Exhibit RDG-2 concerning the implementation of such a rate can
	be resolved either through mediation, prior to the hearing, or during
	the hearing process.
(b)	Upon resolution of the issues identified in Exhibit RDG-2 and approval
	by the Board, Hydro will implement a demand energy rate for NP.
(c)	Hydro has met the Board's expectation "to file supporting evidence
	with its application" by filing Exhibit RDG-2.
(d)	Stone & Webster did not study the value of time-varying charges in
	the NP rate structure other than the amount of monthly generation and
	marginal cost of energy from Holyrood, which was factored into the

sample demand-energy rate to NP.