1	Q.	On page 9, lines 18 to 21 of Mr. Greneman's Pre-filed Evidence, he indicates
2		that distribution substations and the demand component of distribution
3		primary and secondary lines were allocated using 1CP. In Mr. Greneman's
4		experience, is this normal utility practice?
5		
6		
7	A.	A non-coincident peak (NCP) allocation factor is typically used to allocate
8		distribution substations and the demand component of primary and
9		secondary lines in cost of service studies when the class non-coincident
10		demands are reasonably known. The 1 CP allocator was used in Hydro's
11		cost of service study, in accordance with this Board's reaffirmation in P.U. 7,
12		of the use of a 1 CP allocator for distribution demand costs as approved by
13		the 1993 generic COS methodology based on evidence that:
14		1. Distribution load requirements on the Labrador Interconnected and
15		rural isolated systems are not sized based on local loads but rather
16		the anticipated peak, supporting the use of a 1 CP allocator;
17		2. The distribution network on the Labrador Interconnected system is
18		sized based on a cold weather driven peak, also supporting the use of
19		a 1 CP method, which links cost causation and costs better than the
20		NCP method; and
21		3. The rates of rural customers on the Island Interconnected system are
22		not determined by the COS.