- 1 Stephenville, Newfoundland
- 2 (9:30 a.m.)

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

3 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Good morning, perhaps we could get started. I'd like to welcome 4 everybody here this morning, particularly the presenters, I 5 guess, and observers, and certainly if there's any media 6 here from the Stephenville-Bay St. George area. This is the 7 beginning of the second week of public consultations 8 throughout the province. We would have visited St. 9 Anthony, Labrador West, and Goose Bay last week, and 10 certainly it's a pleasure to be here in the Stephenville-Bay 11 St. George area this morning. 12

My name is Bob Noseworthy and I'm Chairman and CEO of the Public Utilities Board, and for the purposes of this application I serve as Chair of the panel that's here this morning. Before I begin, I'd perhaps like to introduce the panel to you. To my far left is ... this was a long week last week, and a short weekend. Commissioner Don Powell. Don is a businessman from the Stephenville area, I'm sure known to all of you in this room for sure. To my immediate right is Commissioner Fred Saunders, who is retired and a former businessman residing in St. John's, and to my left is Darlene Whalen who is Vice-Chair of the PUB. I'd also like to introduce Cheryl Blundon, who you would have just seen vacate the premises. She is the Secretary to the Board. Mark Kennedy who is there in the middle seat is Board Counsel, and to the far right of the table is Dwanda Newman, and Dwanda is a brand new inhouse counsel to the Public Utilities Board, and is here to do a little bit of shadowing of Mark this morning, with a view to certainly Mark, in all due respect, taking over that seat in due course.

I would perhaps at this point in time as well, ask the parties who are participating in this application, Hydro and the registered intervenors to introduce themselves, and indicate in what capacity they are participating in the hearing, and I'll begin with Hydro please.

MR. YOUNG: Thank you, Chair. My name is Geoff Young, and I'm a legal counsel with Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro. To my far left is Mr. Sam Banfield. He's the Director of Customer Services. To my immediate left is Mr. Derek Osmond, Vice-President of Finance and Chief Financial Officer, and to my right is Mr. Bill Wells, Chief Executive Officer and President.

- 44 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.
- Newfoundland Power?
- MR. HAYES: Thank you, Chair. Good morning. My name is Gerard Hayes, I'm legal counsel with Newfoundland Power, and to my right is Mr. Kevin Fagan. Kevin is Customer Service Specialist with Newfoundland Power and we're appearing as a registered intervenor in this

- 51 proceeding.
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr.
- Hayes. Industrial Customers, please?

consumers of electricity.

- MS. HENLEY ANDREWS, Q.C.: Good morning, Mr.
- 55 Chairman, my name is Janet Henley Andrews. I am counsel
- 56 for the Island Industrial Customers which are Abitibi in
- 57 Stephenville, Abitibi in Grand Falls, Corner Brook Pulp and
- Paper, and North Atlantic Refining. We're intervening in
- the hearing to deal with the proposed increase of nearly 20
- percent for the Industrial Customers effective in 2002.
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Ms. Henley Andrews. Consumer Advocate please?
- MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: Thank you, Mr. Chairperson. My name is Dennis Browne, and I'm the government appointed Consumer Advocate to represent domestic and other
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Browne. The reason the panel is in Stephenville today is to listen to public input and comment on the application of 69 Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro for a general rate 70 review. Pursuant to the Public Utilities Act, Hydro are 71 seeking approval to increase rates to be charged for the supply of electricity to its retail customer, Newfoundland Power, and its rural customers. In addition, the application is requesting approval of rates as well as terms and conditions of contracts governing the supply of electricity to Hydro's industrial customers. Finally in this application, 77 Hydro are also seeking approval of their 2002 capital 78 budget. 79

Essentially this application affects every consumer of electricity in the province, be they householders, small business, industry, be they living in rural or urban communities, and the application will impact the rates each will pay for electricity in future. It is with this in mind that the Board scheduled, in consultation with Hydro, and other registered intervenors, a series of public participation days in various locations throughout the province. These public participation days are designed to provide the opportunity for individuals and organizations, be they municipal councils, economic development associations, chamber of commerce, service clubs, etcetera, to make their views known directly to the panel on matters contained in the application which will affect them.

These public participation days, as I indicated earlier, we visited St. Anthony, Labrador West, and Happy Valley-Goose Bay last week, and we're beginning this week with Stephenville, we're moving on to Grand Falls-Windsor tomorrow, and then we'll be conducting a couple of days, if necessary, in St. John's.

For those of you here this morning who may not

80

81

83

87

88

90

93

94

95

97

98

2

3 4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

60

71

77

80

81

83

84

90

91

93

94

96

be familiar with the role of the Public Utilities Board, and the process we employ in hearing a rate application, I think it may prove beneficial just to spend a few moments explaining who we are and indeed what we do. The Public Utilities Board is a quasi-judicial independent agency which is established under, and derives its authority from provincial statues and legislation, primarily the Public Utilities Act, and the Electrical Power Control Act. The Board has an obligation under this legislation to regulate electric utilities operating in the province, and this includes Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro.

The full Board of Commissioners has a complement of three full-time commissioners and six parttime commissioners as well as ten staff, and the four of us on this panel have been appointed from this group of nine commissioners to hear this particular application. In accordance with our legislative responsibilities, this panel has a duty to hear the evidence presented by the Applicant, Hydro, and other interested parties, and at the end of the process render a fair and equitable decision. The statutes require the Board to make rate decisions that are reasonable and just and not discriminatory. The legislation requires the Utility be allowed to earn a just and reasonable financial return. The legislation also dictates that power be delivered to customers in the province at the lowest possible cost while ensuring safe and reliable service. In fulfilling its statutory responsibilities, the Board must protect the interests of all parties, including producers, retailers and consumers of electricity. In doing this we must also be sensitive and strive to balance the interest of each class of consumer, whether they be households, businesses, industries, both small and large users of electricity.

Having described who we are and why we're here this morning, I would now like to just spend another brief moment explaining the process itself, what has occurred to this point and what can be expected resulting from the process. The application was submitted by Hydro on May the 31st of this year, following which a notice of public hearing was advertised throughout the province. A number of pre-hearing conference days were held throughout the summer which were designed to establish the rules and procedures governing the conduct of the hearing and that included identification of registered intervenors, setting of various times and schedules and other procedural matters pertaining to the hearing, and those are contained in Board Orders which have been issued.

In addition, this preliminary process allowed for questions to be asked by one party of another and responses to be prepared and circulated among all the parties. Also, pre-filed evidence of expert witnesses has now been filed and distributed among the parties. To give you some idea of the material, of the pre-filed material, which has been filed to date, it comprises close to 50 rather large binders and indeed is growing daily, and for those of you who may be interested in the details, certainly in some of the particulars, most of that information is on the Board's website.

Following the preliminary work that went on during the summer, the public hearing itself began on September the 24th and during this phase each of the parties have the opportunity to question Company witnesses and their experts and in turn present the views of their own experts, which will also be subject to crossexamination by the other parties.

The process involves one of examining, evaluating and questioning the large quantity of information and testimony presented. The purpose is to ensure that all necessary evidence required to reach a determination on rates and other matters contained in the application are placed before the panel. The process will enable the panel to assess all the issues covered by the application and render a fair and equitable decision that will serve to balance in the best manner possible the interests of all stakeholders.

The public hearing is expected to conclude in early December, following which a report will be prepared by the panel, containing a series of orders on rates and other related matters contained in the application.

The part of the process we are engaged in here today, as I indicated earlier, is to provide the opportunity during these public participation days, for persons and organizations throughout the province to provide public input and comment on proposed rate increases and other issues arising from the application. This can be done in two ways, either by oral presentation, which will be heard today, or by letter of comment, which can be filed today or indeed at a later date. This can be done by simply contacting the now absent Board secretary, Ms. Blundon, but certainly she'll be here later on and you can get the information from Ms. Blundon concerning the addresses and deadlines for submission of letters of comment, if anybody would wish to file one of those later on.

All the oral and written material submitted by individuals and organizations will form a part of the public record and the information gathered here today, along with letters of comment, will combine with the documentation resulting from the formal proceedings and will form the total body of evidence which will be considered by this panel in registering its final decision. So what you say here today will be heard and carefully examined by the panel in issuing 103 its final orders.

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

55

56

60

66

70

76

83

85

86

93

94

96

97

Before we begin, there are a number of matters, housekeeping matters concerning today's proceedings, which I'd like to get to. These proceedings are, indeed, being recorded under the supervision of the Board secretary, Ms. Blundon, and will be subsequently transcribed for the public record. In addition, presenters will be sworn in to make this a part of the body of evidence before the Board, and the Board's main goal is to get the facts on the record in a way that is convenient to the parties and in the public interest, and while I realize this may appear a little formal, above all else, we are here to listen to your views and comments, and we want you to express them in a way that you feel most comfortable.

We have indeed, four presenters this morning. Gerry Murphy, who is the Vice-President with the Bay St. George Chamber of Commerce. Mel Moores, who is with the Communications, Energy, and Paper Workers Union, Local 1093. Mayor C. Stein, newly re-elected Mayor of the Town of Stephenville, and Tom Hutchings, who is the Executive Director of the Long-Range Regional Economic Development Board.

I would anticipate that we'll quite likely get through the presenters this morning. We will try and probably take a break around 11:00 or 11:15 for ten minutes, and we will proceed as necessary after that. I would ask Mr. Kennedy to review preliminary matters now following which Hydro will be making a short presentation and having a few comments to really set the scene and put the application in context for those of you here this morning. Mr. Kennedy please?

MR. KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. Commissioners. I'll call the formal style, in the matter of the Electrical Power Control Act, 1994, and the Public Utilities Act, and in the matter of an application by Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro for approvals of (1), under Section 70 of the Act, changes in the rates to be charged for the supply of power and energy to its retail customer, Newfoundland Power, its rural customers, and its industrial customers. (2) under Section 71 of the Act, its rules and regulations applicable to the supply of electricity to its rural customers. (3) under Section 71 of the Act, the contract setting out the terms and conditions applicable to the supply of electricity to its industrial customers. And (4), under Section 41 of the Act, its 2002 capital budget. I can confirm Chair and Commissioners, that the appropriate notices of the public hearing, and as well these public presentation days were publicized and that this hearing is properly constituted.

MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Kennedy. I'll now call upon Hydro to have some brief opening remarks please?

MR. YOUNG: Thank you, Chair. This is Hydro's first

general rate proceeding since 1991/92, and when I say that I mean one in which its rates and almost of its issues that would normally come before a regulator are considered. There have been several other proceedings since then but they've been on more discrete issues such as cost of service methodology and on, there was a rural rates inquiry also, and there have been a few other ones which are more particular, the particular issues which have arisen.

This is also Hydro's first rate application before the Public Utilities Board under the Public Utilities Act, which is to say one whereby the Board has full jurisdiction to consider Hydro's rates to essentially all of its customers. There are a few exceptions in Labrador, and to determine Hydro's capital budget.

In this connection, this is the first time the Board has had jurisdiction to set Hydro's rates to its four island industrial customers and to approve the terms and conditions pertaining to their service. Now this is not to say that Hydro's public utility proceedings have never been of interest to Hydro's island industrial customers. Hydro has been following, ever since the inception of the Electrical Power Control Act, the requirements of that Act in setting prices for all of its customers in a manner which is just and equitable, and vis-a-vis its other customers, and those prices have been determined in accordance with generally accepted public utility principles, even though they haven't been set by the Board itself, and set by Hydro. This means that the rates charged by Hydro to the island industrial customers, while not set by the Board, were affected indirectly by the decisions this Board made and the allocations it made in its cost of service that Hydro had filed for, other customers that appeared (inaudible) before the Board.

There are a number of issues before the Board in this hearing which affect everyone's rates, and I'll briefly list them. Hydro's 2002 capital budget will be approved by the Board. Adjustments to preferential rates which are paid by some customers in Hydro's isolated diesel system generation, those communities where Hydro provides its generation by diesel fired generation. The consideration by the Board of an appropriate financial and capital structure for Hydro.

At present Hydro is proposing a very modest margin of profit, and a return on equity of only three percent. It should be understood that this is an interim measure intended to avoid a larger rate increase at this time. In the future, Hydro will be proposing a return on equity that is conducive over a longer term of retaining for Hydro a sound financial position and a sound credit rating so that it can attract the capital needed to carry on the business of an electric utility. This requirement that Hydro have a 103 sound financial position is found in the governing

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

56

57

65

66

73

74

75

76

78

81

82

86

87

89

90

93

94

96

97

98

legislation, the Electrical Power Control Act, and also under the *Public Utilities Act*.

I would also note that one of the key components of Hydro's cost structures on the island part of the province at least is the price of Bunker C, or No. 6 fuel burned at Hydro's Holyrood generating station to generate electricity. The price of this fuel in Hydro's cost structure was last set by the Board in 1992. At that time oil was much cheaper and the base rate for oil in Hydro's cost structure is \$12.50 per barrel. Now since then we've seen oil of that sort approach the \$40.00 per barrel range, and the average price of this type of oil has stayed much higher than \$12.50 in recent years certainly. This does have an impact on Hydro's rates because of the Rate Stabilization Plan that Hydro uses to smooth rate changes over time, so there are essentially two components to Hydro's rate application from the point of view of increases that are being requested. One is the 3.7 percent increase that is requested as part of Hydro's cost going forward. The other though is an automatic increase of 3.4 percent expected for July of 2002 flowing from the operation of the Rate Stabilization Plan, the RSP. That change would have occurred in any event had Hydro not applied. Thank you. MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr.

- 24 Young. I'll now move directly into the presentations 25
- please, and I'll call upon Mr. Gerry Murphy to take the 26
- witness table please? Good morning, Mr. Murphy. 27
- MR. MURPHY: Good morning. 28
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: You can be seated. 29
- You're with the Bay St. George, you're Vice-President of the 30
- Chamber of Commerce? 31
- MR. MURPHY: Yes, yes, I am. 32
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Welcome sir. 33
- MR. MURPHY: Thank you. 34
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: I wonder could you 35
- take the Bible in your right hand please? Do you swear on 36
- this Bible that the evidence to be given by you shall be the 37
- truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help 38
- you God? 39
- MR. MURPHY: I do so swear. 40
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, 41
- Mr. Murphy, could I ask you to begin your presentation 42
- please? 43
- MR. MURPHY: Thank you. Good morning. It would be 44
- very difficult to sit here and lie. Mr. Powell has been wisely 45
- chosen to sit on your Board, and he and I have had a long 46
- association as business acquaintances and he is very 47
- familiar with the situation in Bay St. George, and of course 48

the whole west coast. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners for the opportunity to share our concerns regarding the proposed increase in power rates, and we especially appreciate the Board having the hearing in various locations throughout Newfoundland and Labrador. This allows the Board to hear from a greater number of individuals and businesses affected by the increase.

My name is Gerry Murphy and I am Vice-President of the Bay St. George Chamber of Commerce. The Chamber has 123 members composed of small businesses, large businesses, as well as individuals and organizations concerned with the economic and social situation in the Bay St. George area. The Chamber office is located in Stephenville, but the area served by the Chamber stretches from Cape St. George on the Port au Port Peninsula, to Heatherton in Bay St. George South.

The Chamber of Commerce has read several press releases regarding Hydro's application and hearings. The September 4th, 2001 invitation for public participation lists 21 items that Hydro are requesting in their application. Most of these items in the application are of such a technical nature that it is impossible for any ordinary citizen to understand. The Chamber of Commerce has no intention of delving into these highly technical subjects. The topic that the Chamber of Commerce wishes to concentrate on is in the social/economic impact that the proposed increases will have on Bay St. George, and the Bay St. George area. The basic information regarding the proposed increase comes from Hydro's press release of May 31, 2001.

This information shows that the proposed increase would result in Newfoundland Power's customers paying an additional 7.1 percent on their light bills, and the large industrial customers would be charged an additional 17.8 percent for their power. The Chamber views a 7 percent increase to be way too much for many small businesses and an 18 percent increase to be totally unreasonable.

The proposed power increase leads to four separate and distinct situations for the Chamber of Commerce members. For some of our members, electricity does not represent a large percentage of their operating costs, so the 7.1 percent increase, while not welcomed, does not directly threaten the viability of the business. We have other businesses such as restaurants and bakeries which use a lot of power and the 7.1 increase is significant and a cause for concern. We have one member, the Abitibi Consolidated Paper Mill, who comes under the large industry category and are facing a staggering 17.8 percent increase.

On opening day of the hearing in St. John's, the Telegram reported that Hydro was planning to increase

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

55

56

57

60

65

66

67

69

70

71

72

73

74

76

80

81

82

83

84

86

87

93

94

industrial rates by 35 percent by January 1st, 2004. The Chamber thought that this must be an error and proceeded to check with the paper mill. Unfortunately the mill confirmed that the Telegram article was correct, and indeed they are facing a 35 percent increase over the next three years, if these exorbitant increases are approved.

The fourth situation that arises with our members is the direct consequence of the rate increase. Any change in the down time taken by our one large industry or in fact the viability of our paper mill affects every other member in the Chamber of Commerce. I will address the situation with Abitibi Consolidated and the impact on Bay St. George business in more detail in a moment.

First, I would like to give the Board a thumbnail sketch of the Bay St. George area. Throughout the area we have a number of small businesses, some are more fortunate than others, but on the whole they can be characterized as struggling in a global economy that is sluggish. We have the usual amount of government money coming into the area, whether through the hospital system, the education system, and other government agencies or through Unemployment Insurance and Social Assistance. In addition we have one large industry, a paper mill.

(10:00 a.m.)

The paper mill directly employs 300 people. In addition, 100 of the Abitibi Consolidated woodlands employees are associated with the Stephenville mill. These employees and their families reside throughout the whole area covered by the Bay St. George Chamber of Commerce. The largest concentration is around Stephenville where the mill is directly a source of income for one out of every ten families. The payroll for the mill employees is around \$20 million a year. Economic indicators suggest that for every person employed in a large industry, another three to five people are employed in support businesses within the community. This means that in addition to the 400 employees directly employed by Abitibi for their Stephenville mill, another 1,200 to 2,000 people are employed in support services. This indicates the importance that the paper mill has on the economics of the whole Bay St. George area.

Due to the direct impact on Abitibi and the indirect impact on other businesses in the region, the Chamber is always concerned when anything threatens the financial stability of the paper mill. Abitibi has told the Chamber that 20 percent of their manufacturing cost is power, so with an 18 percent increase in 2002, plus a further increase in 2004, this essential business is looking at a 7 percent increase in their manufacturing costs due to power alone.

The Chamber of Commerce is also aware that Abitibi is facing increasing difficulties with fibre supply, and in fact, are bringing wood chips in from Quebec. We also hear that this has increased Abitibi's manufacturing costs. We recognize the fibre supply may be outside the Board's jurisdiction. We raise the issue only to highlight to the Board the concern we have that an increase in power rates will aggravate the situation for our major industry.

The mill has pointed out to the Town and to the Chamber that within Abitibi Consolidated, it is the high cost mills that take the bulk of the inventory down time when market conditions are bad. With the mill being such a large employer in the region, the mere mention of down time affects the other businesses. From my personal experience and in my real life I'm the manager of a radio station and about 70 percent of my time is spent selling advertising, I know that local businesses cut back on advertising the minute Abitibi announces that the mill will be taking down time. In my experience, I have also noted for every week of down time that the mill takes, it takes small businesses two to three weeks to get back to normal. Furthermore, the mill tells us that within Abitibi the high cost mills attract the least capital investment. Capital investment brings contractors, technical people, and sales personnel to the region. They stay in our hotels, eat in our restaurants, spend some of their money in our shops and many of our local businesses provide some of the materials and services involved in capital construction.

The Chamber of Commerce's point is that by keeping the manufacturing costs low at the mill, we can help keep the other businesses in the community healthy. In addition, the mill plays an important role in the infrastructure for our area. For example, the Stephenville airport like many across Canada is fighting for survival. If the mill wasn't here, would the airport be here?

In closing, we urge you, the Commissioners, to consider very carefully before you make a decision on power rates. Your decision has the potential to be catastrophic to the Bay St. George area. We saw our air force base close in the sixties, we saw the Labrador Linerboard Mill close in the seventies, and we never want to see our region in that kind of a situation again. On behalf of the Bay St. George Chamber of Commerce, I thank you for allowing us this input to your decision making process. Thank you.

97 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. 98 Murphy, for your presentation. It has been standard 99 practice for us to have questions from Hydro and the 100 registered intervenors and ourselves if necessary. Is that 101 satisfactory to you?

102 MR. MURPHY: That's fine.

- 1 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Thank you. I'll begin
- 2 with Hydro, please?
- 3 MR. YOUNG: We have no questions, thank you very
- 4 much.
- 5 MR. MURPHY: Thank you.
- 6 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Newfoundland
- 7 Power?
- 8 MR. HAYES: We have no questions, Mr. Chair. Thank
- 9 you, Mr. Murphy.
- 10 MR. MURPHY: Thank you.
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Industrial Customers
- 12 please?
- MS. HENLEY ANDREWS, Q.C.: I have no questions.
- 14 MR. MURPHY: Thank you.
- 15 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: The Consumer
- 16 Advocate, Mr. Browne?
- 17 MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: Mr. Murphy, the businesses you
- represent, do they heat their premises for the most part
- through fuel or electricity? Have you done a survey?
- MR. MURPHY: No, but I am thinking about the question,
- I would say it's about 50/50.
- MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: Would that be true of the homes here
- in this area?
- MR. MURPHY: Yes, yeah, although maybe ... yeah, that
- would be as close as I could come, an educated guess
- anyway. Maybe a little more on the electricity side.
- 27 MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: Now you get your electricity through
- 28 Newfoundland Power. Has that power company
- 29 undertaken any conservation program, activated any
- 30 conservation program to attempt to get people to conserve
- 31 their energy use to the best of your knowledge?
- MR. MURPHY: Not in the, not in the last few years. There
- was a campaign some time back ... equally there was a
- campaign to entice residents to switch from oil to electricity
- 35 to heat their homes, but you know, there was a campaign
- some years ago, but I certainly haven't heard anything of
- any kind of a campaign like that in the last three years, four
- years anyway.
- MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: When was the campaign to urge
- 40 people to switch from oil to electricity?
- 41 MR. MURPHY: Well it was sort of an industry thing that
- was generated, I guess, by the oil companies primarily, and
- 43 then I think there was a reply. I'm not sure it was a switch,
- but it was ... you know, the benefits ... but no, it wouldn't be
- a switch, it would be the benefits of electric, you know,

- 46 heating your home with electricity type thing.
- 47 MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: Businesses here though are
- 48 equipped to sell insulation materials, I gather?
- 49 MR. MURPHY: Oh yes, absolutely.
- MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: These are my questions, thank you.
- 51 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr.
- 52 Browne. Commissioner Powell?
- 53 COMMISSIONER POWELL: I have no questions.
- 54 COMMISSIONER SAUNDERS: Just one question, Mr.
- 55 Murphy, what's the population of the area you described?
- Would you have any idea, Cape St. George to Heatherton,
- that's the area that your membership comes from.
- 58 MR. MURPHY: Round numbers, 25,000.
- 59 COMMISSIONER SAUNDERS: 25,000, yeah, okay, thank
- 60 you, Mr. Chairman.
- 61 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Thank you,
- 62 Commissioner Saunders. Commissioner Whalen?
- 63 COMMISSIONER WHALEN: I have no questions. Thank
- 64 you, Mr. Murphy.
- 65 MR. MURPHY: Thank you.
- 66 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Thank you, I just have
- one, I guess, primarily. You mentioned 123 members, Mr.
- 68 Murphy?
- 69 MR. MURPHY: Yes.
- 70 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: You had mentioned a
- 71 couple of categories there earlier, one where indeed the
- 72 increase in electric rates would not threaten the viability of
- 73 the business, and you mentioned others which would be
- 74 adversely affected by the increase. Do you have any idea
- of the sort of, of your 123 members, how that might break
- 76 down at all?
- 77 MR. MURPHY: No, it would probably be somewhere in the
- order of about ten percent of our ... you know, we have a
- 79 four bakeries here. We have numerous restaurants. They
- 80 would be the high users. The bakeries, especially. I have
- some background in the bakery business and I know what
- 82 it does, and you know, it's devastating because, you know,
- 83 they can't go out and increase the price of their bread or
- 84 their products significantly in a short period of time, and so
- 85 that wouldn't be, you know, that would be a deterrent for
- 86 those people, I mean they're going to have to eat the
- 87 increase and seven percent is a lot. I mean ...
- 88 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: So there would be a
- 89 number in any event that would ...
- 90 MR. MURPHY: Oh yes, there would be, because they

- would very much in the, you know, and gearing towards 1
- the tourist industry we're encouraging people to get into 2
- the restaurant business and so on and high energy costs 3
- 4 are definitely a deterrent.
- 5 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Would most of those
- members be directly related to the, and provide service to 6
- the mill in one way or another, directly or indirectly 7
- 8 generally?
- MR. MURPHY: No, no, it would be fair to say that, you 9
- know, i don't know, probably 25 percent or something, 30 10
- percent, might directly provide service to the mill. 11
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: I'm trying to get an 12
- 13 understanding of the profile of your ...
- MR. MURPHY: Yeah, you know, it's a consumer based 14
- area, you know, the bulk of the area is the retail trade, and 15 you know, not directly supplying services to the mill, but 16
- you take a business, you know, like Arlam (phonetic) 17
- Company, or Riff's, or you know, their customers are mill 18
- based customers, they're mill employees or families of mill 19
- employees or, you know, people that indirectly work 20
- because the mill is in the town. We just had a presentation 21
- at the Chamber of Commerce a week ago from Brian 22
- Downey and the other Mayors of Bay St. George, the 23
- Mayor of St. George's was here, and he was one of the 24
- presenters, but Brian Downey represents the Town of
- 25 Stephenville Crossing, and he basically said the Town of 26
- Stephenville Crossing's only employer is Stephenville's mill, 27
- and that the community would have a very difficult time 28
- existing without the jobs that they have at the mill and 29
- equally so, if we could have another mill in Stephenville, 30
- Stephenville Crossing would go back to the boom days, 31
- and that would give you an indication of just, you know, 32
- how much in Bay St. George other communities rely on it as 33
- well as Stephenville, so all of these dollars then are all sort 34
- of filtered into Stephenville. The surrounding communities 35
- do not have businesses. It's not a great consumer based 36
- area, so Stephenville is the hub and all the money is spent 37
- here, so a significant amount of salary dollars and the spin 38
- off dollars come directly into Stephenville. 39
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: 40 That's all the
- questions I have, thank you very much, Mr. Murphy. 41
- 42 MR. MURPHY: Thank you.
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: I'd call upon Mr. Mel 43
- Moores. That's fine, thank you, Mr. Murphy. Could you 44
- take the witness stand please? Good morning, Mr. Moores. 45
- MR. MOORES: Good morning sir. 46
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: You're with the 47
- Communications, Energy, and Paper Workers Union, Local 48
- 49

- MR. MOORES: Yes, I am.
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: And what position do
- you hold, sir, with that ...
- MR. MOORES: I'm the recording secretary.
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: I see, thank you and
- welcome, I wonder if you could take the Bible in your right 55
- hand please? Do you swear on this Bible that the evidence 56
- to be given by you shall be the truth, the whole truth, and 57
- nothing but the truth, so help you God?
- MR. MOORES: I do.
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr.
- Moores, could I ask you to begin your presentation
- please? I believe you visited us in, one day, did you not,
- in St. John's?
- MR. MOORES: Yes, I did, Mr. Chairman. I wanted to get a feel for what the thing was all about and that's why we 66 were there. Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board, my name is Mel Moores and I appear before you today as the representative of the 260 unionized men and women who work at Abitibi Consolidated in Stephenville. When we first heard of the rate increase application filed by Newfoundland Hydro, my first reaction was let the 71 company deal with it. However, it wasn't long before it 72 became very evident to all of us that everyone in the 73 Stephenville area has a stake in this. As a result, Local 1093 of the CEP would like to add our voice to those 76 opposed to the application.

Mr. Chairman, when the mill here in Stephenville opened in 1981, everyone was aware that it was opening with two serious shortcomings. First, we did not have a guaranteed wood supply as did the mills in Corner Brook and Grand Falls, and secondly we do not generate any power as do Corner Brook and Grand Falls. Abitibi and the employees were only able to make this mill a success by running a bare bones operation as far as the number of employees is concerned, and by obtaining expensive offshore wood. This expensive wood supply has already in the last year or so added approximately \$10.00 per ton to the cost of manufacturing paper here in Stephenville.

Mr. Chairman, even with these efficiencies, it is still very difficult to make a profit. In fact, until 1999, it was not much more than a breakeven operation. application by Newfoundland Hydro that is before you now seeks a 17.8 percent increase to industrial users. This, in fact, translates to a 19 percent increase to Abitibi in 2002. Also, if Hydro's return on equity plan is followed through to 2004, there would be an increase of 35 percent in power costs for the Stephenville mill. This would be disastrous. In fact, it could lead to the closure of this mill.

77

82

83

85

89

90

92

93

95

The proposed 19 percent increase for 2002 will add \$3.4 million to the power costs for this mill. The impact of this increase must be considered by the Board. I am not naive enough to believe that Abitibi is prepared to pay this added cost without trying to offset it in other ways. Sure, they'll try to cut costs on materials and supplies and so on, but they can't do anything about their other major problem, and that is the cost of wood. Therefore, they are likely to take the traditional easy road and cut jobs wherever they can. \$3.4 million equates for 45 to 50 of the best paying jobs in this town, and if Hydro's plan for 2004 is followed, it will mean an increase of \$6.2 million which equates to around 90 jobs.

That is not to say, Mr. Chairman that I expect that Abitibi will lay off 90 people. I'm not entirely sure that they can do that because, as I said earlier, we are a bare bones operation in terms of the number of employees, but the impact of what would happen can be clearly seen. It is a fact as well, Mr. Chairman, that if this proposed increase goes through, it will add approximately \$30 per ton to the manufacturing costs at Stephenville. This increased cost per ton will move Stephenville from the middle of the pack to the highest cost per ton mill in Abitibi's Canadian group, and that's very important, Mr. Chairman.

We are now in a market down turn that may last for quite some time. Traditionally any production down time is taken by the high cost mills. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that if this increase is approved then the Stephenville mill could experience as much as six, eight, ten, twelve weeks down time a year. This could take as much as \$4 - 5 million annually out of the local economy and it's hard to sit here and be second and not repeat some of the stuff that was said before you, but I call it the snowball effect, and Mr. Murphy alluded to it as well, it is historic in the paper industry that the best producers get the line share of capital investment. Without capital investment mills gets outdated and run down and can never ever get back on a competitive level. Again, this is a clear recipe for closure.

Mr. Chairman, hydroelectric power is the result of a natural resource, water, and as such should be used to promote, stimulate and sustain job creating industries. It should not be used as a cash cow for governments, except of course when there is a surplus such as in Labrador. That would be an ideal cash cow for government to work with. When the rural subsidy was removed some time ago, it appeared that Hydro was on the right track in promoting industry. Now they have chosen to come back with an application of 17.8 percent, and it seems that the idea of promoting industry is not out the window.

Abitibi Consolidated is the largest industrial operation west of Corner Brook, and as such impacts

directly or indirectly on the whole region. It makes no sense, Mr. Chairman, to approve a rate increase to Hydro that jeopardizes the success of a viable industry, particularly at a time when our government is trying to develop new industries such as Voisey's Bay and Lower Churchill. The mill here in Stephenville puts \$20 million a year into the pockets of the people who work there, as well as another \$10 million of spinoffs into the community.

The employees at Abitibi paid \$6.3 million in taxes in the year 2000. It is therefore imperative that the mill continue as a healthy viable operation and the only way that that can happen is if you say no to the application by Hydro. Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board, thank you for the opportunity to pass on to you the feelings and the position of the members of Local 1093 of the Communications, Energy and Paper Workers Union.

- 69 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Thank you Mr. 70 Moores.
- 71 MR. MOORES: Thank you.
- 72 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Can we go to 73 questions please? Hydro?
- 74 MR. YOUNG: We have no questions, Mr. Moores, thank 75 you.
- 76 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 77 Newfoundland Power?
- 78 MR. HAYES: No questions, Mr. Chair, thank you Mr. 79 Moores for your presentation.
- 80 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Industrial Customers?
- MS. HENLEY ANDREWS, Q.C.: Mr. Moores, how long have you worked with Abitibi?
- 83 MR. MOORES: 20 years.
- MS. HENLEY ANDREWS, Q.C.: So were you hired at the time that the mill first opened?
- 86 MR. MOORES: Yes.
- 87 MS. HENLEY ANDREWS, Q.C.: And are you from the
- 88 Stephenville area?
- 89 MR. MOORES: Well for quite a while, but I was in Grand
- 90 Falls, my original home.
- MS. HENLEY ANDREWS, Q.C.: Okay, those are all my
- 92 questions.
- 93 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Ms.
- 94 Henley Andrews. The Consumer Advocate please?
- 95 MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: Mr. Moores, within your membership
- 96 do you have any knowledge as to the form of heat energy
- people purchase? Are they into oil or electricity?

- 1 MR. MOORES: Purely a guess, Mr. Browne. I have no firm
- 2 information on that, but I would suggest to you that the
- majority of people would be using electric heat.
- 4 MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: Is it a practice within your
- 5 membership for people to use wood stoves as a
- 6 supplementary source of heat?
- 7 MR. MOORES: A very small amount.
- 8 MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: Have you ever partaken in a
- 9 conservation program to conserve your electricity use in
- your own home?
- 11 MR. MOORES: Me personally?
- MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: Yes.
- MR. MOORES: No, I haven't. I probably should but I
- 14 haven't.
- MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: Has Newfoundland Power advocated
- 16 conservation for consumers here in this area?
- 17 MR. MOORES: I have seen over time a long with my,
- poked in the same envelope as my power bill, information
- on conservation from Newfoundland Light and Power at
- 20 various times.
- MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: So there's an insert in a bill?
- MR. MOORES: Primarily that's the way I recall it, yeah.
- MR. BROWNE, O.C.: Have you heard them advertise on
- 24 the radio or television or in the newspapers urging
- 25 conservation?
- MR. MOORES: I guess in a very minor small way, but I
- don't remember any major offensive, major approach by
- Newfoundland Light and Power to bring that about, no.
- MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: These are my questions, thank you,
- 30 Mr. Moores.
- 31 MR. MOORES: Thank you.
- 32 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr.
- 33 Browne. Commissioner Powell?
- COMMISSIONER POWELL: I just have one question, Mel,
- you may or may not know. What does Abitibi get now for
- a ton of paper?
- 37 MR. MOORES: It varies, I guess, and I'm no expert on the
- price, but anywhere from \$600 to \$900 a ton, but depending
- on quality and weight and so on, but I'm really not ... and it
- 40 could be as low as ... the market is down right now. It goes,
- the price goes down as well and people just flat refuse to pay, and it turns up that there may be some paper out there
- being sold at \$400 or \$450 a ton, I'm not sure of the actual
- 44 ..
- 45 COMMISSIONER POWELL: In your presentation you

- 46 mention a \$30 a ton increase so you don't really have any
- 47 idea what the \$30 in terms of a percentage increase they
- 48 would have to pass on to the customers ... it can be
- anywhere from ten percent of ...
- MR. MOORES: I understand that question now I think, Mr.
- 51 Powell
- 52 (10:15 a.m.)
- 53 COMMISSIONER POWELL: I'm trying to put the \$30 in
- 54 context.
- 55 MR. MOORES: Yeah, that, I suppose they would try to
- 56 pass it all on, but having said that, the customer will only
- 57 pay what the market, the world market price is, and they're
- 58 not very much concerned about Abitibi in Stephenville
- 59 having to sustain the 30 percent increase. The world price
- is basically what determines it, and does that address the
- 61 .
- 62 COMMISSIONER POWELL: Yeah, no, you had mentioned
- there was a \$30 a ton increase.
- MR. MOORES: In the cost of manufacturing, yes.
- 65 COMMISSIONER POWELL: Yes, that's right.
- 66 MR. MOORES: Yeah, yeah.
- 67 COMMISSIONER POWELL: And I'm just trying to get
- some context in terms of what that was in terms of a ton on
- 69 the customer trying to sell it, so ...
- 70 MR. MOORES: Well, I can only ball park here obviously.
- 71 I mean if you say \$500 is the market price, then \$30, then
- you're aware, you're the accountant.
- 73 COMMISSIONER POWELL: That's why I was asking you
- 74 the question I was trying to ...
- 75 MR. MOORES: Yeah.
- 76 COMMISSIONER POWELL: Okay, thank you.
- 77 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Thank you,
- 78 Commissioner. Commissioner Saunders please?
- 79 COMMISSIONER SAUNDERS: No, no questions, Mr.
- 80 Chair, thank you.
- 81 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Commissioner
- 82 Whalen?
- 83 COMMISSIONER WHALEN: Just one question. Does
- 84 Abitibi currently purchase all of its electricity from Hydro
- 85 directly?
- 86 MR. MOORES: Yes.
- 87 COMMISSIONER WHALEN: As far as you're aware. I
- 88 notice you indicated in your beginning of your
- 89 presentation, the two constraints were the wood supply, no

- guaranteed wood supply and the fact that you don't 1
- generate any of your own electricity. Are you aware of any 2
- efforts by Abitibi to seek out its own generation source for 3
- 4 electricity as Grand Falls, as the Grand Falls plant has
- done? 5
- MR. MOORES: I can't, certainly won't speak for Abitibi, 6
- but in my hearing and my experience over the past 20 years, 7
- Abitibi in Stephenville has looked at a number of proposals 8
- to try to bring this about, and none of which have proved 9
- practical at this point in time. 10
- COMMISSIONER WHALEN: The practicality aspect, is it 11
- financial or is it technical, or are you aware? 12
- 13 MR. MOORES: As much technical as financial, yes.
- COMMISSIONER WHALEN: Okay, thank you. That's all 14
- my questions. Thank you, Mr. Moores. 15
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Thank you, 16
- Commissioner Whalen. Just a couple of short questions. 17
- One relates to Commissioner Powell. Did I hear you right 18
- to say that \$30 per ton in manufacturing would basically 19
- move the, I guess, the manufactured price in any event, 20
- from the middle of the pack to the high end in terms of 21
- Canadian paper producers? 22
- MR. MOORES: Yes, yes. 23
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Is that correct? 24
- MR. MOORES: I'm not sure how many, I think they're 25
- probably 18 mills in the Abitibi group in Canada at the 26
- moment, and I stand to be corrected, but say there are 18. 27
- Then our manufacturing costs is just around the middle of 28
- the pack if you take a graph that way, and that \$30 per ton, 29
- plus the \$10 that I alluded to earlier, with the recent cost of 30
- wood, wood chips and so on, will move Abitibi from the 31
- middle of that pack to the extreme high end in terms of 32 costs per ton, and what we know for certain, by just simply 33
- looking at that graph, then the number I'm relating it to,
- 34
- down time throughout the industry, all the down time is 35
- concentrated on the high end cost per ton mills. 36
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Just two points, your 37
- point of reference then is the Abitibi group of companies. 38
- MR. MOORES: Yes. 39
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: I see. 40
- MR. MOORES: Yes. 41
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: And secondly that, 42
- that move from the middle to the high end of the pack that 43
- you referred to would be electricity plus the cost of wood 44
- chips basically, an increase cost of wood chips, is that 45
- correct? 46
- MR. MOORES: Yes, because actually since Abitibi has 47

- been forced to, because of lack of product on the island,
- they have been forced to bring in wood chips from Quebec, 49
- then there is a bark recovery value that we no longer get,
- 51 and that is where the added cost of \$10 per ton comes into
- it. We don't get the bark, when we buy chips we don't get 52
- bark, and of course, bark is used to help fuel the place 53
- down here.
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: One other question,
- Mr. Moores, do you have many retirees within your union 56
- that you keep regular contact with, or how does that
- relationship work, I guess? 58
- MR. MOORES: Well the relationship is there between our 59
- local union and our retirees, certainly. However, keep in
- mind that the mill just opened, is just 20 years old here, and
- as a result the number of retirees within our group would be
- minimal at the moment, say 25 to 50, and that's about it, you
- know. 64
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: I was wondering if
- you were getting any feedback in terms of the impact these
- rates would have on them but it's a relatively small group.
- MR. MOORES: I can't say that directly but I'll be able to
- tell you in May coming when I retire.
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Thank you, that's all 70
- the questions I have. Thank you, Mr. Moores, very much.
- MR. MOORES: Thank you for your time.
- (10:30 a.m.)
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Can I call Mayor Cec
- Stein, please, to the witness table? My apologies to Mr.
- Kennedy, Counsel, on two separate occasions I have 76
- passed him over in the line of questions and Mr. Kennedy,
- my apologies, although I understand that on neither
- occasion did you have any questions.
- MR. KENNEDY: No, I'm not slighted in the slightest.
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: But I will certainly not
- do that again. Welcome, Mayor Stein.
- MR. STEIN: Thank you.
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Welcome, Mayor
- Stein. 85
- MR. STEIN: Thank you. I guess you want me to swear.
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: I do, sir. Do you 87
- swear on this Bible that the evidence to be given by you
- shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
- truth, so help you God?
- MR. STEIN: I do.
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Thank you sir.
- Congratulations on your re-election.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

56

59

66

69

70

71

72

73

75

76

80

85

86

88

89

91

92

95

96

- MR. STEIN: Thank you. Not everybody was happy but I was (*laughter*).
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Well sir, can I ask you to begin your presentation please?
 - MR. STEIN: The majority was happy, that's the main thing. A few housekeeping items, the first reason it's so cold here this morning is because the hotel can't afford to pay the electric cost as it today (*laughter*). The second item, some of the things I have to say will already have been said by the previous speakers, but like an old joke, if you heard before, don't stop me.

It's a pleasure for me to welcome you, the sitting members of the Public Utilities Board, to the town of Stephenville. I guess this is the only time I ever welcome anybody to our town with mixed emotions. Naturally, I am pleased that you included Stephenville in your hearings, and as in individuals, I am pleased that you are able to come and spend the time in one of the most progressive towns in Newfoundland and Labrador.

However, my heart is saddened today for the reasons you are sitting. I firmly believe that you hold in your hands the way of life as we know it today and the possibility of the future growth of Stephenville. Make no doubt about it, if you grant Newfoundland Hydro the rate increases that they are asking for, Abitibi Consolidated Incorporated will not be the good corporate citizen as we know them today, and not only Stephenville, but all Newfoundland will be the loser.

Art Reid, a former Minister of Municipal and Provincial Affairs, once stated that Stephenville is the best financed and ran town in all of Newfoundland, and I wish Newfoundland 100 more of them. Well, the Minister didn't make that statement because he wanted to keep the mayor of the day happy. There is a lot of credit due to our staff and our employees, and the efficient way in which they go about their work. But a lot of it has to do with our tax base, and especially the money we receive directly from Abitibi Consolidated for our grant in lieu of taxes of approximately \$700,000 a year, and of course, the 292 employees who are the highest paid in Stephenville, their homes they own, and the money they spend in the community add to our revenue.

And when I talk about Stephenville, it actually encompasses the whole Bay St. George region because Abitibi's employees live all over the region. The woodlands division, many of them are employees who live in Central and Western Newfoundland, outside of Bay St. George, so as Abitibi Consolidated goes, so does many communities in Newfoundland.

And as you are well aware, the Stephenville mill is

the largest industrial user of power on the island. There are three paper mills on the island of Newfoundland but this increase demand by Newfoundland Hydro does not affect the other two mills in Grand Falls and Corner Brook, because they generate their own hydro power.

The question is why was Newfoundland Hydro created in the first place. I thought it was to sustain, retain, and promote economic development, and I'm not here this morning to argue about the debt equity ratio of Newfoundland Hydro, or any of those other ratios that money lenders use. I will leave that to the experts and looking around, I think we have enough of them here. I am just here to state to you as a Commission, that there is something morally wrong. A few years ago when Clyde Wells was Premier, he was going to sell Newfoundland Hydro for \$100 million and take a walk, and now the same Liberal government with a different premier is saying that they are going to reduce our personal income tax and then turn around and say to Hydro, the money that they left in our pockets, Hydro can now take out of our other pocket and give the government \$70 million back. Yes, I believe that is morally wrong.

If Newfoundland Hydro needs this increase to stay afloat, after cutting to the bone, there could be some argument for it, but this is only a hidden tax grab and government is turning Newfoundland Hydro, as Mel Moores just said, into a cash cow, and I believe you, the Members of the Board, no matter what political persuasion you may have, should not grant an increase at this time.

And as Gerry Murphy said, adversity is not new to Stephenville. Back in the 1960's, the US Air Force Base closed and the town became a ghost town. Later on it became a boom town when Labrador Linerboard was started, only to go flat when Labrador Linerboard closed. And I believe if you grant Hydro's increase it will be our third strike, and no matter who the umpire is, three strikes and you're out.

I think we all know what the cod moratorium did to many communities in Newfoundland. Well. Abitibi Consolidated is Bay St. George's fish plant, and it's fishing vessels, and the fibre supply and the electricity is its cod With government talking about a ten percent reduction in TAC's which is, I guess, total allowable cuts for wood, which I know is not of concern directly to the PUB, but that coupled with the outrageous increase that Newfoundland Hydro is looking for means that the cod fish will be reduced to the point where it will not be viable to operate our fish plant. Does the government really need another Burgeo or Ramea on its hands today? And as Mel said earlier too, the Stephenville mill is in the middle of the pack for the cost per ton of newsprint to all ACI mills. If you allow this increase, which I understand has been

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

calculated at \$30 a ton, you will drive them to the highest Abitibi mill in Canada, and it will (inaudible) with newsprint, there will be down time taken in the industry, and the down time is taken, like in any smart corporate business, with the mill which returns the less equity to its shareholders ... in other words, the highest cost of operation.

Even if Abitibi does not completely close but just have to take 15 to 20 weeks, or maybe six months a year down time, everything suffers. They lose customers, no capital works will be done, we don't get the ... we, the town, don't get the same amount of grant in lieu of taxes, employees won't be paying the same amount of HST on their consumption of goods, and retail business will suffer, and I don't have to convince any one of you anything about what a reduction in multipliers if prime dollars leave a community.

I am sure most of you know, if not all of you, that have mutual funds today, look where they are. Many of them are worth less than 50 percent of what they were a year ago, and some of them are Fortune 500 companies. None of them are showing profit, or very little, and they have to find a way to survive. Well, Newfoundland Hydro, even though they are a monopoly, they should be doing the same thing as those companies have to do. No one opposes a just and reasonable return, but it has to be in line with the global economy as we see it today, and the reality of what companies are facing, like cutting to the bone and beyond, since in many cases it is impossible to raise prices to the consumer. Newfoundland Hydro should not be allowed to come to the PUB and say they want 17 or 18 percent and a couple of years later, down the road they want to jack that up again to 35 percent increase over today's rates. They have to learn to make do with what they have, and our government, God bless them, is demanding higher dividend for their shares must accept less.

The same government has developed a philosophy of trying to spread wealth by creating more jobs outside of St. John's, which we all outside of St. John's applaud, by the way. And it's no good to create 25 low to medium salary jobs by transferring the library board (phonetic) operations to Stephenville, and now reducing or eliminating 292 high paying jobs by Hydro's excess demands. These demands will make ACI's mill in Stephenville noncompetitive, believe you me. So I'm asking you, the Members of the Board, after you review the tons of paper that Newfoundland Hydro and everyone else has presented to you, and that's possibly the only good thing come out of this Board, we will consume more paper ... I want you to make your decision based on a little compassion, a little compassion for the low income people who have to dig deeper into their pockets for this increase, and compassion for the people of Stephenville who have made and will continue to make, as long as Abitibi is viable, a great contribution to the economy of Newfoundland.

Tell Newfoundland Hydro to get out of their ivory tower or their blue glass tower, whatever it may be in St. John's, and operate like most companies in Canada and the United States have to do today, lean and mean, and if you do that, Newfoundland will be still a great place to live and a raise a family and it will help to slow down or eliminate the out migration. Actually, I believe the right course for the Board is to accept all the arguments as presented and then park your final decision until the global economy improves to at least what it was 12 months ago. This is not imposing any hardship on Newfoundland Hydro, but it will send a clear message to the monopoly that they have to operate as if they had competition in the marketplace.

Again, I thank you for holding this hearing in Stephenville. Next year it will be the 50th anniversary of the incorporation of our town, and I would like to be able to welcome you all back for our celebrations with the knowledge and the level of comfort that you have made the right decision in allowing our fish plant to stay open and be viable. I thank you for your time.

- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much for that presentation, Mayor Stein. Nobody has any questions, thank you ... (*laughter*)
- 79 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Hydro please?
- 80 MR. YOUNG: I don't have any questions, thank you 81 Mayor Stein.
- 82 MR. STEIN: Thank you very much.
- 83 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Newfoundland
- 4 Power?

56

59

60

61

66

67

68

69

70

71

- 85 MR. HAYES: No questions, Mr. Chair, thank you, Mayor
- 87 MS. HENLEY ANDREWS, Q.C.: No questions, thank you.
- 88 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: The Consumer
- 89 Advocate please?
- 90 (10:45 a.m.)
- 91 MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: Mayor Stein, the town of 92 Stephenville, your town offices, are they heated by
- electricity or fuel?
- 94 MR. STEIN: Yes, we are heated by electricity and I might
- say too, we are one of the few towns in Newfoundland
- 96 today, for the benefit of Newfoundland Light and
- 97 Newfoundland Hydro, that we are still adding street lights,
- $\,$ but I do have a program on my computer that if times get
- 99 any tougher we can cut half the street lights like that

- 1 (witness snaps fingers). So our local, so our electric bill
- won't be any more.
- 3 MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: Mayor, what would your electric bill
- 4 be monthly, can you ball park it?
- 5 MR. STEIN: I can send you a copy. I don't have it off the
- 6 top of my head. I do know our light, just our street lights
- 7 alone are over \$100,000 a year.
- 8 MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: Do you heat your home by electric or
- 9 by oil?
- MR. STEIN: I heat my home mostly with extra sweaters
- 11 these days.
- MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: Yeah.
- MR. STEIN: But I do have a couple of electric heaters but
- it's mostly oil and a combination of both.
- MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: So your oil is the main ...
- 16 MR. STEIN: It's the main, yes.
- MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: Now if you heat your home with oil,
- are you able to access a monthly payment plan through the
- 19 oil company?
- MR. STEIN: Oh that's what it is, it's over twelve months, I
- 21 think.
- MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: Over twelve months.
- 23 MR. STEIN: Averaged.
- MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: So you can do that, but at the end of
- the 12 month period it's all paid is it?
- MR. STEIN: Yes.
- 27 MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: Are you familiar with the Rate
- Stabilization Plan that the utility ...
- MR. STEIN: I read about it but, b'y, being an engineer I'm
- not smart enough to understand it, to tell you the truth.
- 31 MS. HENLEY ANDREWS, Q.C.: You're not alone.
- MR. STEIN: No disrespect to our panel here. (inaudible).
- 33 I understand what you're talking about, they're trying to
- 34 level it over so many years, the cost of oil and balance it
- 35 out.
- MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: You are aware that Holyrood propels
- a lot of our electric requires on the island.
- MR. STEIN: 30 percent, I think.
- 39 MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: And the Rate Stabilization Plan, are
- 40 you aware that in your electric bill the bill is based as if the
- price of oil since 1992 is based on \$12.50 a barrel, are you
- aware of that?
- 43 MR. STEIN: Only what I read in the paper.

- 44 MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: And are you aware that Hydro has
- been borrowing since 1992 because the price of oil really
- hasn't gone down and it's based on \$12.50.
- 47 MR. STEIN: They've been borrowing to pay for their ...
- 48 MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: Are you aware that it's approaching
- 49 \$50 million now, that debt?
- 50 MR. STEIN: No, I am not.
- MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: Are you aware that under Hydro's
- 52 proposal, December 31, 2002, the borrowing will reach \$100
- 53 million, are you aware of that?
- 54 MR. STEIN: No, I am not.
- 55 MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: And are you aware that Hydro's
- 56 hoping after that time that the price of oil might drop. Are
- you aware of that?
- MR. STEIN: No, I'm not, no.
- MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: Does any of that concern you?
- 60 MR. STEIN: It all concerns me, I guess we all have to live
- in this world together and try to make a go of it, but the fact
- is I think what concerns me is I thought two years ago they
- made a \$50 million profit, and then they took a write off for
- 64 Roddickton and they were down about \$38 million or
- something, so they're not like a company that's ready to go
- 66 into receivership, so they've got to, you know, work a little
- 67 longer until the economy gets better, and during good
- times I think, you know ... just pick up any financial paper
- 69 today and there's nobody making it out there, so you can't
- 70 raise the price to consumers if you're in a free market, so I
- 71 think we all got to learn to live together a little better.
- 72 MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: But in your house you pay for your
- 73 oil bill as you go.
- 74 MR. STEIN: Basically, yeah.
- 75 MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: No further questions, Mayor Stein.
- 76 MR. STEIN: Thank you.
- 77 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr.
- 78 Browne. Board counsel please?
- 79 MR. KENNEDY: No questions, Chair.
- 80 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Powell.
- 81 COMMISSIONER POWELL: Just one question, Cec. You
- 82 were saying Hydro, lean and mean. Usually when a
- 83 company goes lean and mean it means fewer people doing
- more jobs. If Hydro were to find some way to go leaner and
- meaner, usually there's a lot of communities throughout the
- 86 province may find that the people they have working for
- 87 them are no longer employed by Hydro. As a municipal
- 88 leader, how do you respond to something like that?

57

66

74

75

78

81

85

86

87

88

89

91

95

96

97

- MR. STEIN: Well, we already took our hit. As you know, 1
- they moved all our people out and put them into Bishop 2
- Falls. We didn't think, we opposed it that time because we 3
- 4 didn't seem to think that there was any jobs being lost or
- removed from the system, they were just transferred from 5
- Stephenville to Bishop Falls, the five employees. We didn't 6
- think it was necessary but the (inaudible) communication 7
- that they couldn't run the job just as well from here as they 8
- could over in Bishop Falls. That is a corporate decision 9
- and we live with it, and I guess it's going to happen if they 10
- become lean and mean. 11
- COMMISSIONER POWELL: That's it. 12
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 13
- COMMISSIONER SAUNDERS: No questions, Mr. Chair. 14
- Thank you, Mayor Stein. 15
- COMMISSIONER WHALEN: No questions, thank you, 16
- Mayor Stein. 17
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: I have just one 18
- question, Mayor Stein. The \$700,000 that's provided in 19
- grants in lieu of taxes, what sort of proportion of your 20
- budget is that? 21
- MR. STEIN: Our budget would be around \$5.2 million a 22
- year. It's higher than that, as you know, but that's because 23
- we carry the government loans on our ... you're all familiar 24
- with that, on the grant, but our actual, what we call local 25
- revenue is about \$5.2 million a year. 26
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Well, Mayor Stein, 27
- thank you very much for your presentation. 28
- MR. STEIN: Thank you. 29
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Could I call upon Tom 30
- Hutchings please? Good morning, Mr. Hutchings, 31
- welcome. 32
- MR. HUTCHINGS: Good morning. 33
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: You're the Executive 34
- Director of the Long Range Regional Economic 35
- Development Board, is that correct? 36
- MR. HUTCHINGS: Yes. 37
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Would you place 38
- your right hand on the Bible please? Do you swear on this 39
- Bible that the evidence to be given by you shall be the 40
- truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so help 41
- you God? 42
- MR. HUTCHINGS: I do. 43
- MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Thank you sir very 44
- much, I'd ask you to proceed with your presentation 45
- please? 46

MR. HUTCHINGS: Good morning everyone. First of all I'd like to thank the Public Utilities Board for providing me and our board with the opportunity to make a presentation regarding Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro's rate application. I am Tom Hutchings, I'm the Executive Director 51 of the Long Range Regional Economic Development Board. The Economic Development Board is one of 20 regional economic development boards in the province of Newfoundland and Labrador. The Long Range RED Board 55 is, I guess, the lead economic development agency for economic zone nine which is this region. Zone nine comprises of the communities in the Stephenville-Bay St. 58 59 George-Port au Port area, and also includes the communities in Bay St. George South, which is, I guess, the Robinsons and Highlands area, and we also take in the communities on the south coast, the Burgeo, Ramea, Grey River, and François area. Zone nine consists of approximately 47 communities with a population of approximately 26,000 people. Three of the largest communities in our zone are Stephenville, Burgeo and Stephenville Crossing, and they account for almost 40 percent of the zone's population and I guess it's interesting to point out that we have over 40 communities in our region that have a population of less than 1,000 people in their communities. So we are a region with a number of 71 communities, a lot of rural communities with very small 72 73 populations.

Our board is a non-profit community based organization and we have a volunteer board of directors representing municipalities, education, rural development associations, youth, the business community and other community economic development groups. We currently have 17 members on our board of directors.

The major economic generators, I guess, in our region include the pulp and paper industry, tourism, and the service sector. Retail trade accounts for about 12 percent of the employment in zone nine, with the fishery and the pulp and paper mill both accounting for about five percent of our workforce.

The mandate of our board is to facilitate and coordinate the regional economic development and social development initiatives. The board operates with five core functions. We would like to take a leadership role in the development and implementation of a strategic plan for the We try to coordinate business development We provide support to communities and support. organizations within our zone. We attempt to coordinate social and economic initiatives relating to economic development, and we also try to promote public participation and community education.

The board developed a strategic plan for this region back in 1997, and we have spent the last four years

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

56

57

63

71

72

73

74

75

77

78

80

81

87

working with a variety of community groups, government agencies and private sector proponents to implement this plan. The potential for, I guess, economic development in this region, the outlook is somewhat positive. There is potential obviously for oil and gas development in the region, and our natural resource based industries, I guess the growth is encouraging in this region in terms of the forestry, the fishery, and other natural resource based industries are very important sectors of the economy in this region and contribute significantly to the local economy as previous speakers have already pointed out.

However, our board and a number of other community economic development organizations see potential for aquaculture development, information technology and also further growth in the tourism sector. Our board's views on the proposed rate increase we feel have a significant impact on the small business community in our region, as Gerry Murphy already pointed out from the Chamber of Commerce perspective, and I guess it's interesting to note that this session today is being held as we start Small Business Week which runs this week annually in Canada. Two thirds of all businesses in Newfoundland and Labrador would be considered small businesses. That would be businesses with employees of fewer than five employees. Since 1980, small businesses have generated more than 80 percent of all new jobs in this province, and 83 percent of new jobs in the province now come from firms fewer than five employees. And firms with fewer than five employees represent 78 percent of the total firms in the province, and it would be safe to say the majority of those smaller firms would make up the membership of the Bay St. George Chamber of Commerce and would be the majority of the agencies and organizations that we work with as an economic development group.

The entrepreneurs in our region and across the province are attempting to expand into new areas. As I mentioned, aquaculture and tourism, manufacturing, and innovative and advanced technologies. There is also growth in food service and various forms of specialized business services. We have a number of new companies in our region that have recently started where energy and electrical costs are significant components of their operation, even though they would be considered new economy operations. You look at an aquaculture farm. It needs to use energy to heat water for recirculation systems. You also look at some of the food processing that we have in our region, in Ramea in particular, with the kelp industry. There is need for drawing and energy for producing those new and innovative products, so I guess it's not just the old economy that's consuming electricity, but it's also some of the new economy businesses in the area as well.

The province's economy is dependent on the growth and prosperity of small business. Small businesses in Newfoundland and Labrador have to deal with a number of issues to remain competitive and viable. Some of these challenges include attracting investment capital, identifying and penetrating new markets, competition, and the cost of transportation. And also, from talking to the business community in Newfoundland, just the perception of being in Newfoundland and Labrador is difficult in terms of dealing with outside investors and trying to do business in the global marketplace. And for many businesses, energy cost is a significant expense. In order to grow our local economy and attract new investment, we need to reduce the cost of doing business in the province. A rate increase would make it more difficult for businesses to grow and remain competitive. One of Newfoundland and Labrador's greatest resources and key competitive advantage is our hydroelectricity. In order to generate additional wealth and employment in the province, we need to keep our hydro costs down.

In terms of our rural customers, despite some of the opportunities that are available in the area, there is still a number of challenges facing a lot of our rural communities. Zone nine, or this region, continues to experience very high levels of unemployment. According to Newfoundland Statistical Agency's labour market survey, the latest statistics that I have available is that the unemployment rate for this region is 30.1 percent, well above the provincial average, and traditionally has been one region that has the highest unemployment level in the province. Out migration in our communities is still a significant factor. The population of our zone from 1986 to 1996 has declined by 3.9 percent. We anticipate further decline when the 2001 census data is released. There are three rural isolated diesel sites in zone nine. They include Ramea, Grey River and Francois. Since the collapse of the ground fishery and subsequent moratorium in 1992, these communities have struggled to identify economic development opportunities for their communities. The rural subsidy and preferential rates to rural customers need to remain in place in order for these communities to survive. The Long Range RED Board has been working closely with these three communities and we hope to identify strategies to capitalize in opportunities in tourism, fishery, and the aquaculture sectors. There is still a need to diversify the economy and create new employment opportunities in all the communities in our zone, and our communities cannot afford any cost in increase of living.

With respect to our industrial customer, Abitibi Consolidated, the mill in Stephenville employs 292 people, and an additional 98 people in its woodland operations. The importance of the Stephenville mill to the Bay St. 105 George area cannot be overstated, and as Mayor Stein and

100

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

Gerry Murphy have already mentioned, that the Stephenville mill is critical to the survival and growth of this community.

According to information provided to our board by Abitibi Consolidated, the proposed rate increase would increase Abitibi Consolidated power cost by \$3.2 million per year. This represents an increase of approximately 18 percent. It is my understanding that the Stephenville mill is one of Abitibi Consolidated's most efficient mills, and has undertaken a number of measures to improve its operating efficiency. Our board is concerned that any increase in operating costs to the Stephenville mill could result in down time, lay offs, or worse, I guess, increases the possibility of mill closure. And for those of you that live in the town of Stephenville, again, we can't overestimate the impact that the mill has. The last time there was down time at the mill, there was a noticeable decline in retail sales in the community, the amount of activity in the community, and I'm also involved with a number of charitable organizations in town and we noticed a significant decline in the amount of fundraising and our ability to do fundraising in the community, and that was just during a short down time at the Stephenville mill.

In terms of some final comments, our board is opposed to any increase in rates for our retail, rural, or industrial customers. Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro needs to focus on improving its operating efficiencies. The provincial government and Hydro need to make a commitment to researching and developing cleaner sources of energy. In the past several months, our board has been approached by several individuals who are interested in developing wind power projects in the region. However, there does not appear to be any real commitment to develop wind power or other alternative energies in the province. There needs to be a commitment by everyone, including consumers, to decrease our energy consumption. Canada is one of the highest per capital consumers of energy in the world. We need to begin an awareness and education program aimed at decreasing our energy consumption. One would argue that increasing the cost of energy would decrease consumption. This normally only has a short term effect. A long term strategy needs to be developed to decrease our consumption while maintaining our competitive advantage of low cost energy, and just to give you one example, our board and the town of Stephenville, along with a number of organizations partnered with the Conservation Corps of Newfoundland and Labrador, where there was a series of green teams established around the island that did home visits and showed customers how to decrease energy costs to make their homes more efficient from either adding insulation, improving heating and ventilation, and looking at things such as recycling and composting, so those types of

initiatives along with a public awareness campaign would help in terms of reducing our consumption cost, and again, there doesn't seem to be any real commitment to energy efficiency and decreasing our consumption of energy.

I guess in closing, the board would like to point out that despite the province's economic growth over the last five years, not all areas of our province have benefitted. There have been some new and innovative businesses established in the area and in some communities. For example, Stephenville has seen some growth in population since the last census period and some growth in the business community. A rate increase, however, would not help our businesses grow, and would make it more difficult to attract the much needed investment into our communities. The Long Range Regional Economic Development Board requests that the Public Utilities Board decline Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro's rate application. Thank you.

- 72 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much,
- Mr. Hutchings. Questions, Hydro?
- 74 MR. YOUNG: No questions, thank you, sir.
- 75 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Newfoundland
- 76 Power?

58

59

60

61

- 77 MR. HAYES: No questions, Mr. Chair. Thank you, Mr.
- 78 Hutchings.
- 79 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Industrial Customers?
- 80 MS. HENLEY ANDREWS, Q.C.: No questions, Mr.
- 81 Chairman.
- 82 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Consumer Advocate,
 - 33 Mr. Browne?
- 84 MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: Yes, Mr. Hutchings. I must say it's
- 85 refreshing to hear someone talk about conservation
- 86 because I think you're on to something there and of all the
- presenters we've had on the island and Labrador so far, no
- 88 one has mentioned conservation. You mentioned that the
- 89 Long Range Regional Development Board had some
- affiliation, I guess, that's with the Conservation Corps.
- 91 MR. HUTCHINGS: Yes.
- 92 MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: Can you expand upon that a little?
- 93 MR. HUTCHINGS: A number of years ago, I guess, when
- 94 the, the federal government in its partnership with the
- Keyoto (phonetic) Agreement to reduce CO2 emissions,
- they made available some funds for projects looking at
- 7 reducing energy consumption and output, and the
- OB Conservation Corps of Newfoundland and Labrador
- submitted an application to that fund and they established a series of teams around the island. The number of
- 101 communities, I'm not sure, but there was at least one

- 1 community in each region of the province and there was
- 2 three or four individuals employed, I guess, through a
- green team with the Conservation Corps that through some
- 4 public awareness and presentations, just trying to increase
- 5 people's awareness of a need to conserve energy.
- 6 MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: And I gather the Conservation Corps
- 7 would go to people's homes, would they?
- 8 MR. HUTCHINGS: They would do home visits and do an
- 9 assessment of a person's home and make recommendations
- to them in terms of how they can make their homes more
- 11 energy efficient.
- MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: Would there be a cost involved in
- 13 that?
- MR. HUTCHINGS: I believe the Conservation Corps,
- through the funding they received, were able to cover most
- of the costs, but I think they had a nominal charge per
- household, and the number escapes me. I think \$25 or \$100
- per home visit, it was something around that range.
- MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: So \$100 a home visit. In the 40
- 20 communities that you represent would, in an area of 31
- 21 percent unemployment, would everyone be able to afford
- \$100 for a home visit?
- MR. HUTCHINGS: No, no.
- MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: So it was only available to some
- 25 people.
- MR. HUTCHINGS: The primary, the Corps was mainly
- 27 located in Stephenville, I believe the majority of their home
- visits were in Stephenville, where there are higher
- 29 disposable incomes and higher average incomes in
- 30 Stephenville.
- 31 MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: Are you aware that the Holyrood
- 32 thermal generating plant, that the cost for oil there each
- year is \$100 million?
- MR. HUTCHINGS: Somewhat familiar, not ...
- 35 MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: And if Hydro could bring down that
- 36 particular consumption, we may indeed see some stable
- 37 rates.
- 38 MR. HUTCHINGS: Yes.
- 39 MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: You mentioned about the wind
- 40 power projects. You have been approached through the
- Development Board by an entrepreneur, is that your
- 42 evidence?
- 43 MR. HUTCHINGS: We had two groups, one was
- interested in wind power on the south coast, in the Ramea
- area, and another was interested in wind power on the Port au Port Peninsula. Both of these groups, the credibility of
- the organizations again, it's just entrepreneurs that have

- 48 approached our board. I believe they have talked to
- 49 Newfoundland Hydro already and put in requests to look
- at some research, but again, wind power is something that
- 51 we've talked about in this province for quite some time and
- 52 I know there are a lot of technical variables around wind
- 53 power but it's something that probably should be
- 54 investigated.
- 55 MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: Is that ongoing through your board,
- these people who have made proposals?
- MR. HUTCHINGS: We have two proponents right now
- that are actively doing some research and some business
- 59 planning.
- 60 MR. BROWNE, Q.C.: Thank you very much sir.
- 61 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr.
- Browne. Mr. Kennedy?
- 63 MR. KENNEDY: No questions, Chair, thank you.
- 64 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Powell?
- 65 COMMISSIONER POWELL: No questions.
- 66 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Commissioner
- 67 Saunders?
- 68 COMMISSIONER SAUNDERS: Just one, Mr. Chair. Mr.
- 69 Hutchings, your board is comprised of, I think you said 17
- 70 members?
- 71 MR. HUTCHINGS: Yes.
- 72 COMMISSIONER SAUNDERS: On the board of directors?
- 73 MR. HUTCHINGS: Yeah.
- 74 COMMISSIONER SAUNDERS: What's the difference in
- 75 the goals and aims of your board compared to that of the
- 76 Chamber of Commerce, for instance? Do you work closely
- 77 with them or ...
- 78 MR. HUTCHINGS: The Chamber of Commerce have two
- 79 representatives on our board of directors. We're co-located
- 80 with the Chamber at the W.E. Cormack Centre here in
- 81 Stephenville, and we pursue a lot of joint initiatives with
- the Chamber and work quite closely with them.
- 83 COMMISSIONER SAUNDERS: I see, okay, thank you.
- 84 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Thank you
- Sommissioner Whalen?
- 86 COMMISSIONER WHALEN: No questions, thank you,
- 87 Mr. Hutchings.
- 88 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: I have no questions,
- 89 Mr. Hutchings, other than to congratulate you. I am aware
- 90 of the fact that there are, indeed, 20 regional economic
- 91 development associations throughout the province and
- 92 you're the first one to appear before the Board, and to the

- best of my knowledge, the only one. I think it is a missed
- 2 opportunity and I thank you for attending.
- 3 MR. HUTCHINGS: Thank you.
- 4 MR. NOSEWORTHY, CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much
- 5 for your presentation. That brings to a conclusion the
- 6 presentations today. I do want to thank everybody from
- 7 the Stephenville-Bay St. George area for the presentations.
- 8 Certainly they have been very beneficial to this panel in
- 9 presenting information on the impact of the application in
- your area, and I thank you for that. I would observe that
- there is still an opportunity to provide letters of comment
- by any individuals or associations or groups in the area,
- letters of comment on the application itself, and that
- 15 letters of comment on the application usen, and tha
- opportunity exists up until the conclusion of the hearing,
- and indeed information can be gotten on that by contacting
- the Board secretary, Ms. Cheryl Blundon. Once again, I
- 17 thank you very much for your presentations, and this
- brings these proceedings to a conclusion. Thank you
- 19 again.
- 20 (11:30 a.m.)
- 21 (hearing adjourned)