

Q. Re: Conservative Hydraulic Production Forecast

- (a) Why choose 1992 – 2000?**
- (b) How many years of hydrology were used in assessing the average energy capability of Rose Blanche?**
- (c) How many years of hydrological records are involved in the energy capability of all of Newfoundland Power's plants?**
- (d) What guarantee is there that since it was wet last year, it will be wet this year?**

- A.
- (a) Mr. Brockman choose 1992-2000 because these were the years provided in Mr. Henderson's Schedule V, and because these are the most recent 10 years. Also, insofar as the climate is changing, the use of more recent years are more representative of current climate conditions.
 - (b) Mr. Brockman has been informed by Newfoundland Power that 30 years of hydrology data was used in assessing Rose Blanche.
 - (c) Mr. Brockman has been informed by Newfoundland Power that 15 years of hydrology data was used in assessing the capability of Newfoundland Power's hydro electric plants.
 - (d) Mr. Brockman makes no guarantees that because it was a wet year last year, then it will be a wet year this year. However, the hydrological inflow data presented at this hearing thus far indicates that inflows for more recent years are significantly higher than inflows of 50 years past.