## NP-237 2001 General Rate Application Page 1 of 1

Q. Further to NP-16, explain why capitalized expenses for 2001 and 2002 have decreased so significantly.
A. Capitalized expenses for 2001 and 2002 have decreased from 2000 mainly due to the fact that in 2000 there were recoveries of approximately $\$ 650,000$ related to the Labrador River Project. In addition, there were recoveries of approximately $\$ 727,000$ related to overtime for which there is no allowance made on a budget or forecast basis.

1 Q. Further to NP-90, provide details of the calculation of the 2002 forecast of

4 A. See schedules below.

| RATE STABILIZATION PLAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLAN INTEREST (WACC) RATE * | 7.16\% |  | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC | TOTAL |
| DESCRIPTION | JAN | FEB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OPENING BALANCE | 87,397 | 88,394 | 90,488 | 92,011 | 93,657 | 94,897 | 95,592 | 94,291 | 93,006 | 93,569 | 94,600 | 96,000 |  |
| INTEREST | 521 | 527 | 540 | 549 | 559 | 566 | 570 | 563 | 555 | 558 | 564 | 573 | 6,646 |
| ACTIVITY | 476 | 1,566 | 983 | 1,097 | 681 | 129 | -1,871 | -1,848 | $\underline{8}$ | 473 | 836 | 1,198 |  |
| CLOSING BALANCE | 88,394 | $\underline{\underline{90,488}}$ | $\underline{\underline{92,011}}$ | $\underline{\underline{93,657}}$ | $\underline{94,897}$ | 95,592 | $\underline{\underline{94,291}}$ | $\underline{\underline{93,006}}$ | $\underline{\underline{93,569}}$ | 94,600 | $\underline{\underline{96,000}}$ | $\underline{\underline{97,771}}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * The effective annual WACC rate is 7.4\%. The nominal rate compounded monthly is $7.16 \%$, and is lower to reflect the benefit of monthly compounding. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



[^0]Q. Reconcile the $\$ 90,255,000$ 'Gross Interest, per Cost of Service' in 2002 from NP-2 to the \$93,584,000 'Interest' in 2002 from JCR, Schedule I, providing an explanation and calculation of the differences.
A. Please see attached reconciliation.

The AFUDC related to the Labrador Hydro project is removed to arrive at regulated Gross Interest, since this project is not a regulated activity.

The proportion of interest related to the rural assets is removed to arrive at Gross Interest, per Cost of Service, which is the number used to calculate regulated interest coverage, since interest coverage is not sought on those assets.

## NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR HYDRO Interest Reconciliation <br> \$thousands

| Interest | 101,662 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Amortization of Foreign Exchange Loss | 2,157 |
| Amortization of Debt Discount and Issue Expense | 1,175 |
|  | 104,994 |
| Less: CF(L)Co Pool Interest | $(1,951)$ |
| Labrador Hydro Project AFUDC | $(3,046)$ |
| Regulated Gross Interest | 99,997 |
| Debt Guarantee Fee | 11,992 |
| Less: Interest on Sinking Fund Assets | $(6,301)$ |
| RSP | $(6,646)$ |
| Regulated CWIP - AFUDC | $(5,458)$ |
| Net Interest Expense, JCR Schedule I | 93,584 |
| Regulated Gross Interest, Line 7 above | 99,997 |
| Less: Rural Allocation ${ }^{1}$ | $(9,742)$ |
| Gross Interest per Cost of Service | 90,255 |
| 1. Rural Allocation of Gross Interest: |  |
| Rural Average Net Book Value: |  |
| Island Interconnected: JAB-1, p. 32, line 40, cols. 6-17 | 81,486 |
| Island Isolated, JAB-1, p. 46, line 24, col. 2 | 12,047 |
| Labrador Isolated, JAB-1, p. 58, line 24, col. 2 | 22,906 |
| L'Anse au Loup, JAB-1, p. 70, line 24, col. 2 | 4,858 |
|  | 121,297 |
| Total Hydro Net Book Value, JAB-1, p. 2, col. 2, line 1 | 1,245,061 |
| Rural Percentage: L. 22 divided by L. 24 | 9.74\% |
| Regulated Gross Interest, Line 7 above | 99,997 |
| Rural Allocation of Gross Interest: L. 25 multiplied by L. 26 | 9,742 |Amortization of Foreign Exchange Loss2,157

Less: CF(L)Co Pool InterestLabrador Hydro Project AFUDC$(3,046)$
Regulated Gross Interest11,992
Less: Interest on Sinking Fund Assets$(6,646)$
Regulated CWIP - AFUDC$(5,458)$
Net Interest Expense, JCR Schedule I81,486
sland Isolad, JAB-1, p. 46, 1is 24, col. 222,906Labrador Isolated, JAB-1, p. 58, line 24, col. 24,85899,997Rural Allocation of Gross Interest: L. 25 multiplied by L. 269,742
Q. In NP-87, the average balance for the month appears higher than the simple average of opening and closing balances. Explain the short-term interest calculation provided.
A. Hydro's interest expense model calculates short-term interest expense by averaging monthly balances and applying the forecasted short-term borrowing rate to that average. Average monthly balances are calculated using the opening balance plus balances as of the $7^{\text {th }}, 15^{\text {th }}$, and $22^{\text {nd }}$ of each month.
Q. In the materials filed by Hydro to date, interest coverage is calculated using various balances - e.g., including recall sales, excluding recall sales, excluding subsidiaries, excluding IOCC, etc.
(a) Provide a detailed calculation of interest coverage for 2000, 2001 and 2002.
(b) Provide a copy of the PUB approval of the formula and appropriate balances to be used.
(c) Is the formula consistent with the industry standard and those used in other jurisdictions?
A. The various interest coverage ratios filed to-date have been calculated in accordance with the specific requests of interveners. The calculation of regulated interest coverage excludes: export sales of recall energy to HydroQuebec; subsidiaries; and IOCC revenue in excess of the costs allocated to it through the cost of service.
(a) Regulated interest coverage can only be calculated precisely for years in which a cost of service study has been completed. The cost of service studies for 2000 and 2001 are in progress and will be filed during September.

The margin for purposes of calculating regulated interest coverage is the accounting net income of $\$ 9,610,000$ less IOCC revenue adjustment of $\$ 2,374,909$ for a balance of $\$ 7,235,091$. It includes the equity return on rate base which is recovered through rates as well as the return on capital which is financing CWIP and RSP.

Gross Interest, per Cost of Service for 2002 is $\$ 90,255,000$, as detailed in NP-240. Therefore, 2002 regulated interest coverage is:

Gross Interest, per COS + Regulated Margin $=\quad 90,255+7,235$ Gross Interest, per COS 90,255
(b) The formula is consistent with that used for regulatory purposes since 1977. The calculation of regulated interest coverage is less important for this hearing since Hydro will now be regulated on return on ratebase, but it has been provided to enable comparison to prior years.
(c) We are not aware of any industry standard for calculating interest coverage for regulatory purposes, nor are we familiar with formulas used in other jurisdictions.
Q. Interest in 2002 for the Northern Canada Power Commission loans is $\$ 1,993,294$ per LC-12. Reconcile this interest amount to the schedule included in NP-87.
A. NP-87 is a schedule of interest expense for 2002. LC-12 is a debt repayment, or cash flow schedule. As noted on LC-12, payments on this debt are made annually on March $31^{\text {st }}$. The interest payment of $\$ 1,993,294$ for 2002 therefore, represents 9 months of interest expense for 2001 and 3 months of interest expense for 2002. The interest expense number of $\$ 1,680,000$ for 2002 as shown on NP-87 can be derived from LC-12 as follows: $\$ 1,993,294$ * 3/12 + \$1,576,118 * 9/12.
Q. Further to PUB-56, explain the methodology used and a detailed calculation of the interest increase of $\$ 800,000$ related to "interest avoided' associated with the Hydro Quebec recall energy.
A. Interest and guarantee fee impacts associated with recall revenues were estimated by assuming that Hydro's promissory note issuance would have been lessened by the net affect of net recall revenues received, less recall related dividends paid. Attached are two schedules, which provide details of the 2002 calculations of short-term interest. The first is calculated assuming recall impacts are included (short-term interest totals $\$ 7,057,000$ ), and the second assuming recall impacts are excluded (interest totals $\$ 7,949,000$ ). The difference is $\$ 892,000$.

For purposes of guarantee fee calculations, the variance of $\$ 92,000$ is calculated based on the difference between the closing 2001 debt balances before and after adjustment for recall impact. As noted in our response to NP-77, the 2002 regulated guarantee fee calculation was incorrect and requires amendment. Following the amendment, the guarantee fee, like interest, would be higher for regulated purposes. This is because of the higher debt levels that would be incurred in the absence of recall revenues.

## SCHEDULE 1

Calculation of Short-Term Borrowings Including Recall

|  |  |  |  |  | 2002 Co | arporate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC | TOTAL |
| Interest Rate* | 4.57\% | 4.57\% | 4.57\% | 4.68\% | 4.68\% | 4.68\% | 4.85\% | 4.85\% | 4.85\% | 4.92\% | 4.92\% | 4.92\% |  |
| Opening Ealance | $(161,959)$ | $(162,565)$ | $(175,480)$ | $(171,387)$ | $(173,153)$ | $(161,529)$ | $(179,343)$ | $(184,223)$ | $(195,700)$ | $(10,254)$ | $(122,290)$ | $(126,415)$ |  |
| Net Activity | 38 | $(12,276)$ | 4,763 | $(1,075)$ | 12,301 | (17,121) | $(4,126)$ | $(10,714)$ | 185,478 | $(111,650)$ | $(3,590)$ | $(10,364)$ | 31,665 |
| Short Term Interest | (644) | (640) | (670) | (691) | (677) | (693) | (755) | (763) | (32) | (387) | (535) | (571) | $(7,057)$ |
| Closing Balance | $(162,565)$ | $(175,480)$ | $(171,387)$ | $(173,153)$ | $(161,529)$ | $(179,343)$ | $(184,223)$ | $(195,700)$ | $(10,254)$ | $(122,290)$ | $(126,415)$ | $(137,351)$ | $(137,351)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *This is a nominal rate compounded monthly. The rate is lower than the effective annual rate to reflect the impact of monthly compounding. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## SCHEDULE 2

Calculation of Short-Term Borrowings Excluding Recall

| 2002 Regulated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC | TOTAL |
| Interest rate | 4.57\% | 4.57\% | 4.57\% | 4.68\% | 4.68\% | 4.68\% | 4.85\% | 4.85\% | 4.85\% | 4.92\% | 4.92\% | 4.92\% |  |
| Opening Balance | $(185,671)$ | $(187,936)$ | $(202,308)$ | $(179,817)$ | $(183,253)$ | (173,762) | $(191,753)$ | $(199,404)$ | $(214,017)$ | $(29,567)$ | $(144,545)$ | $(151,014)$ |  |
| Net Activity | $(1,530)$ | $(13,634)$ | 23,264 | $(2,710)$ | 10,209 | $(17,248)$ | $(6,843)$ | (13,785) | 184,569 | $(114,520)$ | $(5,841)$ | $(9,801)$ | 32,131 |
| Short Term Interest | (736) | (738) | (773) | (726) | (719) | (743) | (808) | (827) | (109) | (469) | (628) | (674) | $(7,949)$ |
| Closing Balance | $(187,936)$ | (202,308) | $(179,817)$ | $(183,253)$ | (173,762) | $(191,753)$ | $(199,404)$ | (214,017) | $(29,567)$ | $(144,545)$ | $(151,014)$ | $(161,489)$ | $(161,489)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *This is a nominal rate compounded monthly. The rate is lower than the effective annual rate to reflect the impact of montly compounding. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Q. Further to NP-9, explain why the annual pension plan expense increased from an average of $\$ 2.3$ million over the years 1992-1999 to an average of $\$ 2.9$ million for the years 2000-2002.
A. The annual pension plan expense will vary depending on the amount of salaries. During the periods 1992 to 1995 there were no wage increases. As well, effective January 1, 1998 there is a $1 \%$ contribution increase which is to be phased in over a four-year period at $1 / 4 \%$ per year.
Q. (a) Further to NP-11, explain, by line item presented (eg: Materials Management, Management), the difference between the 2001 cost recoveries from $\mathrm{CF}(\mathrm{L}) \mathrm{Co}$. of $\$ 1,905,833$ presented in the response to NP-11(a) page 2 of 2 and the $\$ 2,194,299$ presented in Schedule 1 of the response to NP-11(b).
(b) Explain why there are no 'external cost recoveries' presented for the years 1992 through 2000 in the schedule provided in response to NP-11(a) page 2 of 2 .
(c) What is the fringe benefit rate applied to salaries in determining the charges to CF(L)Co.? How has that rate been derived?
A. (a) The following is a reconciliation of the two schedules:

Schedule 1 of NP-11 (b)
\$ 2,194,299
Less: Reduction for Executive Management $(20,000)$ System Development Costs $(282,966)$
Add: External Cost Recoveries 114,500
Page 2 of NP-11 (a) (Rev)
$\$ \quad 1,905,833$

* This is a recovery of capital costs which does not affect the Revenue Requirement.
(b) For the years 1992 through 2000 the external cost recoveries were included with the salary group of expenses as part of the Revenue Requirement Schedule.
(c) The fringe benefit rate applied to salaries in determining the charges to $\mathrm{CF}(\mathrm{L}) \mathrm{Co}$ is currently $33 \%$. It was derived by calculating the employer's share of payroll costs plus an allowance for vacation leave, sick leave and statutory holiday leave.
Q. Further to PUB-57.1, explain why there are no non-regulated expenses considered in the allocation of retained earnings in the opening retained earnings for 2001.
A. The table presented in PUB-57.1 shows the reconciliation of net income per the revenue requirement to the corporate equity balances for 2001 and 2002.

The cumulative effect of non-regulated expenses prior to 2001 has been reflected in the opening retained earnings balances, in effect, giving them the same treatment as dividend payments.
Q. Further to NP-52, provide the basis for, and details behind the determination that $\$ 228,000$ is an appropriate annual amount for TWINCo. to bill Hydro annually.
A. The $\$ 228,000$ is not billed by TWINCo to Hydro. The charge of $\$ 228,000$ is set by TWINCo and billed to IOCC through an agreement between IOCC and TWINCo. Hydro pays IOCC 53.6\% of this amount as described in NP-52.
Hydro has no role in setting this charge, as it is determined between IOCC and TWINCo. In the agreement between IOCC and TWINCo, the charge is reviewed every 5 years. TWINCo must substantiate any cost increases to IOCC and the increases are limited to $15 \%$ at each 5 -year review. The last increase to $\$ 198,200$ occurred in 1996 and an increase to $\$ 228,000$ is expected in 2001.

## NP-249 <br> 2001 General Rate Application

## Page 1 of 2

Q. Further to NP-187, provide details of any and all charges from CF(L)Co., Gull Island Power Company Limited, and Lower Churchill Development Corporation to Hydro during the period 1992 to 2000 and forecast for 2001 and 2002. Subject to the answer above, provide details on how the costs allocated or charged are determined?
A. For the period 1992-2002, there are no charges from either Gull Island Power Company or Lower Churchill Development Corporation to Hydro. The details of the charges from $\operatorname{CF}(\mathrm{L})$ Co to Hydro for the same period are listed in the accompanying schedule.

## Inter-Company Charges

CF(L)Co to Hydro
1992-2002

| Line <br> Number | Item | Method of Allocation | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 | 1997 | 1996 | 1995 | 1994 | 1993 | 1992 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 138kv Line \& Switchyard Repairs \& Maint. | As per agreement | 80,000.00 | 80,000.00 | 207,902.66 | 183,364.73 | 72,655.73 | 120,518.21 | 118,292.47 | 110,415.56 | 86,283.45 | 89,733.75 | 136,481.85 |
| 2 | 230-13 Circuit Breaker Maintenance | As per agreement |  |  | 116,338.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Communications Links | Actual plus Overhead |  |  |  | 1,051.50 | 1,423.32 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,534.79 |
| 4 | Salaries - Graduate Trainee | Actual plus Payroll Benefits |  |  | 32,937.36 | 16,588.62 |  |  |  | 5,723.29 |  |  |  |
| 5 | P2000 | Actual plus Overhead |  |  |  | 45,570.88 | 216,036.80 | 51,470.12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Power Purchases | As per agreement | 6,391,330.00 | 6,748,147.00 | 6,996,087.00 | 7,016,462.00 | 6,361,769.00 | 3,138,601.00 | 3,122,394.00 | 3,138,528.00 | 3,222,573.00 | 3,164,085.00 | 2,731,780.00 |
| 7 | Power Outage - Lobstick Lodge | Actual plus Overhead |  |  |  | 877.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Power Outages - Goose Bay | Actual plus Overhead |  |  |  | 18,167.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Power Outages - Connaigre Peninsula | Actual plus Overhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35,261.43 |  |  |  |
| 10 | Service Installations \& Maintenance | Actual plus Overhead |  |  | 8,954.75 |  |  | 12,018.65 |  | 1,252.56 | 5,115.15 |  |  |
| 11 | VHF System Installation/Maintenance | Actual plus Overhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,662.06 |
| 12 | Transformer/Generator Movement | Actual plus Overhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15,151.92 | 31,383.82 |  |
| 13 | Totals |  | 6,471,330.00 | 6,828,147.00 | 7,362,219.97 | 7,282,082.68 | 6,651,884.85 | 3,322,607.98 | 3,240,686.47 | 3,291,180.84 | 3,329,123.52 | 3,285,202.57 | 2,877,458.70 |

## NP-250

Q. Further to NP-53 and NP-160, explain why the 2002 cash payment on account of employee future benefits of $\$ 675,000$ presented in NP-160 is different from the 2002 cash payment of $\$ 1,199,000$ presented in NP-53.
A. The cash payment on account of employee future benefits (EFB) of $\$ 675,000$ presented in NP-160 in support of the forecast liability for EFB as filed, was based on a forecast of employee retirements for 2002 during the budget cycle.

The 2002 cash payment on account of EFB of \$1,199,000 presented in NP53 reflects current expectations with respect to retirements in 2002. This revision will be reflected in a revised cost of service to be filed at a later date.
Q. Further to PUB-56.1, what is the nature of the $\$ 325,000$ of customer costs removed from the financial statements to arrive at the revenue requirement?
A. Customer costs of $\$ 325,000$ related to bad debt expense and collection fees were not removed from the financial statements to arrive at revenue requirement, they were reclassified to miscellaneous expenses.

Please see schedule below.

## Based on 75\% Dividend Payout

Total Debt at end of year
Employee Future Benefits
Total Equity at end of year

| 2001 <br> (000's) | 2002 <br> $(000 ' s)$ | Average <br> $(000 ' s)$ | $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1,225,076$ | $1,316,147$ | $1,270,612$ | $81.11 \%$ |
| 23,554 | 25,123 | 24,339 | $1.56 \%$ |
| 269,367 | 273,632 | 271,499 | $\underline{17.33 \%}$ |
| $1,517,997$ | $1,614,902$ | $1,566,450$ | $100.00 \%$ |

Q. Further to NP-77, provide a reconciliation of the guarantee fee of $\$ 12,336,000$ for 2002 to the guarantee fee of $\$ 12,085,000$ presented in NP2.
A. The guarantee fee as presented in NP-2 was on a non-regulated basis, and included recall impacts. NP-77 was prepared assuming that the recall impact has been removed.
Q. Further to NP-79, provide the Return on Ratebase for the years presented using the WACC indicated in the financial plan presented in IC-98, as opposed to the $7.4 \%$ proposed for the 2002 test year.
A. The financial plan presented in IC-98 did not extend to 2006 so the WACC for that year is assumed to be the same as 2005.

|  | (\$thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
| Average Unamortized |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foreign Exchange Loss | 85,200 | 83,043 | 80,886 | 78,729 | 76,572 |
| WACC | 7.399\% | 7.399\% | 8.7\% | 8.7\% | 8.7\% |
| Return on Ratebase | 6,304 | 6,144 | 7,037 | 6,849 | 6,661 |

Q. (a) Further to NP-4, provide the basis for and determination of the Vacancy Adjustment of $\$ 1,000,000$.
(b) Provide further details of the $\$ 15,598,809$ Maintenance Materials forecast.
(c) Provide further details of the $\$ 263,160$ Memberships and Dues forecast.
(d) Provide further details of the $\$ 2,510,000$ Consultants forecast.
(e) Provide further details of the $\$ 1,178,827$ Software Acquisitions \& Maintenance forecast.
(f) Provide further details of the $\$ 2,177,568$ Travel forecast.
A. (a) Each year Hydro budgets its permanent salaries on the basis of its permanent staff complement. On an actual basis there is always a number of permanent positions that become vacant during a particular year due to retirements, terminations, long-term disability, etc. which results in salary savings because of the period of time which elapses between the date of vacancy and the date of hiring the replacement. Hydro estimates that approximately $2.5 \%$ of total permanent salaries is representative of the vacancies and this amounts to approximately $\$ 1,000,000$ on an annual basis.
(b) Further details of the Maintenance Materials forecast are listed in the attached table.
(c) Further details of the Membership and Dues forecast are listed in the attached table.
(d) Further details of the Consultants forecast are listed in the attached table.
(e) Further details of the Software Acquisitions and Maintenance Forecast are listed in the attached table.
(f) Further details of the Travel Forecast are listed in the attached table.

## Newfoundland \& Labrador Hydro Summary of Maintenance Material Forecast for 2002

| Line Number | Location | Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | TRO - Labrador | \$ | 1,003,726 |
| 2 | TRO - Central |  | 4,254,825 |
| 3 | TRO - Northern |  | 968,587 |
| 4 | Generation - Holyrood |  | 6,367,283 |
| 5 | Generation - Bay D'espoir |  | 1,265,300 |
| 6 | Operations Planning |  | 2,000 |
| 7 | Generation Engineering |  | 17,400 |
| 8 | Environment \& Properties |  | 165,000 |
| 9 | TRO - Engineering |  | 115,300 |
| 10 | Customer Service/Rates |  | 110,000 |
| 11 | Controller |  | 3,300 |
| 12 | Treasury |  | 13,388 |
| 13 | IS \& T |  | 409,100 |
| 14 | Internal Audit |  | 650 |
| 15 | Materials Management |  | 825,500 |
| 16 | Risk \& Insurance |  | 34,000 |
| 17 | System Planning |  | 1,400 |
| 18 | Human Resources |  | 21,600 |
| 19 | Labour Relations \& Safety |  | 18,250 |
| 20 | Management |  | 2,000 |
| 21 | Generation Operations |  | 200 |
| 22 | Total | \$ | 15,598,809 |

## Newfoundland \& Labrador Hydro Summary of Memberships \& Dues Forecast for 2002

| Line Number | Location | Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | TRO - Central | \$ | 6,700 |
| 2 | Generation - Holyrood |  | 2,000 |
| 3 | Generation - Bay D'espoir |  | 900 |
| 4 | Operations Planning |  | 700 |
| 5 | Generation Engineering: |  |  |
| 6 | -CEA |  | 96,699 |
| 7 | -Other |  | 6,000 |
| 8 | Environment \& Properties |  | 1,800 |
| 9 | TRO - Engineering |  | 5,300 |
| 10 | Customer Service/Rates |  | 4,000 |
| 11 | Controller |  | 3,350 |
| 12 | Treasury |  | 1,150 |
| 13 | I S \& T |  | 7,250 |
| 14 | Internal Audit |  | 3,265 |
| 15 | Materials Management |  | 8,450 |
| 16 | Corporate Affairs |  | 1,500 |
| 17 | System Planning |  | 9,340 |
| 18 | Human Resources |  | 1,630 |
| 19 | Labour Relations \& Safety |  | 5,625 |
| 20 | Management |  |  |
| 21 | -CEA |  | 60,000 |
| 22 | -Other |  | 24,600 |
| 23 | Generation Operations |  | 6,626 |
| 24 | Director - TRO |  | 775 |
| 25 | Legal \& Corporate Secretary |  | 5,500 |
|  | Total | \$ | 263,160 |

## Newfoundland \& Labrador Hydro Summary of Consultants Forecast for 2002

| Line Number | Location | Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Generation - Holyrood | \$ | 561,500 |
| 2 | Generation - Bay D'espoir |  | 98,000 |
| 3 | Operations Planning |  | 17,500 |
| 4 | Generation Engineering |  | 120,000 |
| 5 | Enviroment \& Properties |  | 315,000 |
| 6 | TRO - Engineering |  | 20,000 |
| 7 | Customer Service/Rates |  | 375,000 |
| 8 | Treasury |  | 90,000 |
| 9 | IS \& T |  | 628,000 |
| 10 | Materials Management |  | 25,000 |
| 11 | Corporate Affairs |  | 50,000 |
| 12 | System Planning |  | 53,000 |
| 13 | Human Resources |  | 94,000 |
| 14 | Labour Relations \& Safety |  | 51,000 |
| 15 | Management |  | 12,000 |
| 16 | Total | \$ | 2,510,000 |

Operating 2002 Software Acquisition and Maintenance

| Line <br> Number | Vendor | Software | Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A3 Solutions | A3 Vision | \$ | 23,100 |
| 2 | Criterion | Blueprint/Cornerstone |  | 22,000 |
| 3 | IBM | AS400 Maintenance Agreement |  | 45,000 |
| 4 | J D Edwards | J D Edwards Suite |  | 242,000 |
| 5 | New Energy Associates | Proscreen II |  | 33,000 |
| 6 | Open Systems | OpenTrend Openview |  | 33,000 |
| 7 | Pentasafe | Security |  | 27,500 |
| 8 | Sensible Security | Norton Anti-virus |  | 68,200 |
| 9 | Showcase | Showcase |  | 79,200 |
| 10 | Xwave | Microsoft Office |  | 335,500 |
| 11 | Xwave | MS Project |  | 27,500 |
| 12 | Xwave | MS Visio |  | 22,000 |
| 13 | Xwave | Lotus Notes |  | 55,000 |
| 14 | Other User Software |  |  | 165,827 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  | Total | \$ | \$1,178,827 |

## Newfoundland \& Labrador Hydro Summary of Travel Forecast for 2002

| Line Nimber | Location | Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | TRO - Labrador | \$ | 350,200 |
| 2 | TRO - Central |  | 582,800 |
| 3 | TRO - Northern |  | 278,866 |
| 4 | Generation - Holyrood |  | 15,000 |
| 5 | Generation - Bay D'espoir |  | 105,500 |
| 6 | Operations Planning |  | 39,000 |
| 7 | Generation Engineering |  | 39,900 |
| 8 | Environment \& Properties |  | 54,000 |
| 9 | TRO - Engineering |  | 79,000 |
| 10 | Customer Service/Rates |  | 50,000 |
| 11 | Controller |  | 33,650 |
| 12 | Treasury |  | 6,000 |
| 13 | IS \& T |  | 272,000 |
| 14 | Internal Audit |  | 5,000 |
| 15 | Materials Management |  | 48,000 |
| 16 | Risk \& Insurance |  | 15,000 |
| 17 | System Planning |  | 15,242 |
| 18 | Human Resources |  | 44,550 |
| 19 | Labour Relations \& Safety |  | 18,360 |
| 20 | Management |  | 32,400 |
| 21 | Generation Operations |  | 2,500 |
| 22 | VP - Human Resources/Legal |  | 30,600 |
| 23 | Director - TRO |  | 60,000 |
| 24 | Total | + | 2,177,568 |


[^0]:    * The effective annual WACC rate is $7.4 \%$. The nominal rate compounded monthly is $7.16 \%$, and is lower to reflect the benefit of monthly compounding.

